arXiv:1001.5077v2 [math.CO] 2 Apr 2011

PROOFS OF TWO CONJECTURES ON THE DIMENSIONS OF BINARY CODES

JUNHUA WU

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}_0$ be the binary codes generated by the column $\mathbb{F}_2$-null spaces of the incidence matrices of external points versus passant lines and internal points versus secant lines with respect to a conic in $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$, respectively. We confirm the conjectures on the dimensions of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}_0$ using methods from both finite geometry and modular representation theory.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field of order q, where $q = p^e$, p is a prime and $e \geq 1$ is an integer. Let $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ denote the classical projective plane of order q represented via homogeneous coordinates. Namely, a point $\mathbf{P}$ of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ can be written as (a_0, a_1, a_2), where (a_0, a_1, a_2) is a non-zero vector of V, and a line ℓ as $[b_0, b_1, b_2]$, where b_0, b_1, b_2 are not all zeros. The point $\mathbf{P} = (a_0, a_1, a_2)$ lies on the line $\ell = [b_0, b_1, b_2]$ if and only if

$$a_0b_0 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 = 0.$$

A non-degenerate conic in $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ is the set of points satisfying a non-degenerate quadratic form. It is well known that the set of points

$$\mathcal{O} = \{(1, t, t^2) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{(0,0,1)\}, \tag{1.1}$$

which is also the set of projective solutions of the non-degenerate quadratic form

$$Q(X_0, X_1, X_2) = X_1^2 - X_0X_2 \tag{1.2}$$

over $\mathbb{F}_q$, gives rise to a standard example of a non-degenerate conic in $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$. It can be shown that every non-degenerate conic must has $q+1$ points and no three of them are collinear, which forms an oval (see [8, P. 157]). In the case where q is odd, Segre [16] proved that an oval in $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ must be a non-degenerate conic. In this paper, $q = p^e$ is always assumed to be an odd prime power. For convenience, we fix the conic in (1.1) as the "standard" conic. A line ℓ is passant, tangent, or secant accordingly as $|\ell \cap \mathcal{O}| = 0$, 1, or 2, respectively. It is clear that every line of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ must be in one of these classes. A point P is an internal, absolute, or external point depending on whether it lies on 0, 1, or 2 tangent lines to $\mathcal{O}$. The sets of secant, tangent, and passant lines are denoted by Se, T and Pa, respectively; the sets of external and internal points are denoted by E and I, respectively. The sizes of these sets are $|Se| = |E| = \frac{q(q+1)}{2}$, $|Pa| = |I| = \frac{q(q-1)}{2}$, and $|T| = q+1$ (see (2.2)). Moreover, it can be shown that the quadratic form Q in (1.1) induces a polarity σ, a correlation of order 2, under which E and Se, O and T, and I and Pa are in one-to-one correspondence with each other, respectively.

Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a 0-1 matrix; that is, $\mathbf{C}$ is a matrix whose entries are either 0 or 1. Note that $\mathbf{C}$ can be viewed as a matrix over any ring with 1. The p-rank of $\mathbf{C}$, denoted by $\mathrm{rank}_p(\mathbf{C})$, is defined to be the dimension of the column space of $\mathbf{C}$ over a field F of characteristic p.

Key words and phrases. Block idempotent, Brauer's theory, character, conic, general linear group, incidence matrix, low-density parity-check code, module, 2-rank.

The column null space of $\mathbf{C}$ over F determines a linear code whose dimension is defined to be the dimension of the corresponding column null space of $\mathbf{C}$ over F.

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be the $(q^2+q+1)\times(q^2+q+1)$ point-line incidence matrix of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$; namely, $\mathbf{A}$ is a 0-1 matrix and the rows and columns of $\mathbf{A}$ are labeled by the points and lines of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$, respectively, and the $(\mathbf{P},\ell)$-entry of $\mathbf{A}$ is 1 if and only if $\mathbf{P}\in\ell$. It can be shown that the 2-rank of $\mathbf{A}$ is q^2+q [9] and the p-rank of $\mathbf{A}$ is $\binom{p+1}{2}^e$ [1], where $q=p^e$. The binary linear code generated by the column $\mathbb{F}_2$-null space of $\mathbf{A}$ has dimension 1. Therefore, it is not useful for any practical purpose.

In [5], Droms, Mellinger and Meyer partitioned $\mathbf{A}$ into the following 9 submatrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{A}_{12} & \mathbf{A}_{13} \\ \mathbf{A}_{21} & \mathbf{A}_{22} & \mathbf{A}_{23} \\ \mathbf{A}_{31} & \mathbf{A}_{32} & \mathbf{A}_{33} \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.3}$$

where the block of rows for $(\mathbf{A}_{11},\mathbf{A}_{21},\mathbf{A}_{31})$ are labeled by the absolute, internal, and external points, respectively, and the block of columns for $(\mathbf{A}_{11},\mathbf{A}_{12},\mathbf{A}_{13})$ are labeled by the tangent, passant, and secant lines, respectively. They used the column null spaces of the submatrices $\mathbf{A}_{i,j}$ for $2\le i,j\le 3$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$ to construct four low-density parity-check (LDPC) codes. Based on computational evidence, they made a conjecture on the dimensions of these codes. For convenience, we denote $\mathbf{A}_{23}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{32}$ by $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{B}_0$, respectively. From (1.3), it follows that $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{B}_0$ are the incidence matrices of internal points versus secant lines and external points versus passant lines, respectively. Note that $\mathbf{B}$ is a $\frac{q(q+1)}{2}\times\frac{q(q-1)}{2}$ matrix and $\mathbf{B}_0$ is a $\frac{q(q-1)}{2}\times\frac{q(q+1)}{2}$ matrix. The purpose of this article is to confirm the following conjecture on the dimensions of the LDPC codes $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}_0$ arising from the column $\mathbb{F}_2$-null spaces of $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{B}_0$, respectively.

Conjecture 1.1. (*Droms, Mellinger and Meyer* [5]) *Let $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}_0$ be the $\mathbb{F}_2$-null spaces of $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{B}_0$, respectively. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{L}) = \begin{cases} \frac{q^2-1}{4}-q, & \text{if } q\equiv 1 \pmod 4, \\ \frac{q^2-1}{4}-q+1, & \text{if } q\equiv 3 \pmod 4; \end{cases}$$

and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{L}_0) = \begin{cases} \frac{q^2-1}{4}, & \text{if } q\equiv 1 \pmod 4, \\ \frac{q^2-1}{4}+1, & \text{if } q\equiv 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $\mathbf{P}_1,\dots,\mathbf{P}_{q(q+1)/2}$ and $\ell_1,\dots,\ell_{q(q-1)/2}$ are indexing the rows and columns of $\mathbf{B}$, respectively. Then we permute the rows and columns of $\mathbf{B}_0$ to obtain a new matrix $\mathbf{C}$ such that the rows and columns of $\mathbf{C}$ are indexed by $\ell_1,\dots,\ell_{q(q-1)/2}$ and $\mathbf{P}_1,\dots,\mathbf{P}_{q(q+1)/2}$, respectively. The matrix $\mathbf{C}$ is indeed equal to $\mathbf{B}^\top$, where $\mathbf{B}^\top$ is the transpose of $\mathbf{B}$. This implies that $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{B}_0$ have the same 2-rank. Therefore, in order to find the dimensions of the $\mathbb{F}_2$-null spaces of $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{B}_0$, it suffices to calculate the 2-rank of either $\mathbf{B}$ or $\mathbf{B}_0$. Recall that the subgroup G of $\mathrm{PGL}(3,q)$ fixing $\mathcal{O}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{PGL}(2,q)$ [8, p. 158]. Further, G has an index 2 normal subgroup H isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSL}(2,q)$. It is known [7] that H acts transitively on E and I as well as on Se, T and Sk.

In [17], Sin, Wu and Xiang calculate the 2-rank of $\mathbf{A}_{33}$ (i.e. the incidence matrix of external points and secant lines) using a combination of techniques from finite geometry and modular representation of H. In this article, we compute the 2-rank of $\mathbf{B}$ using similar representation theoretic results obtained in [17] and different geometric results. Therefore, between the current article and [17], the reader will expect to see some overlaps in the results and statements on modular representation of H as well as the basic geometric facts about conics.

Let F be an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_2$. Let F^I and F^E be the free F-modules whose standard bases consist of the characteristic column vectors of I and those of E, respectively. The actions of H on I and E make the free F-modules F^I and F^E into FH-permutation modules. We define a map

$$\phi_{\mathbf{B}} : F^I \to F^E \tag{1.4}$$

as follows: specify the images of the basis elements of F^I under $\phi_{\mathbf{B}}$ first, i.e.

$$\phi_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbf{P}^{\perp} \cap E} \chi_{\mathbf{Q}}$$

for each $\mathbf{P} \in I$, and then extend $\phi_{\mathbf{B}}$ linearly to F^I, where $\perp$ is the polarity induced by the quadratic form Q, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}}$ and $\chi_{\mathbf{Q}}$ are the characteristic column vectors of the internal point $\mathbf{P}$ with respect to I and the external point $\mathbf{Q}$ with respect to E, respectively. The matrix of $\phi_{\mathbf{B}}$ is a 0-1 matrix of size $|E| \times |I|$. Up to permutations of the rows and columns, $\mathbf{B}$ regarded as a matrix over F, is the matrix of $\phi_{\mathbf{B}}$ with respect to the standard bases of F^I and F^E. Moreover, $\phi_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}$ for $\mathbf{x} \in F^I$. It can be shown that $\phi_{\mathbf{B}}$ is an FH-homomorphism. Hence, the column space of $\mathbf{B}$ over F is equal to $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}})$, which is also an FH-submodule of F^E. This point of view enables us to use results from modular representation of H to determine the dimension of $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}})$ and thus the 2-rank of $\mathbf{B}$. We remark that in the calculation of the 2-rank of $\mathbf{A}_{33}$ the authors of [17] view $\mathbf{A}_{33}$ as the matrix of an FH-homomorphism ϕ from F^E to F^E under which the characteristic vector of an external point $\mathbf{P}$ is mapped to the sum of the characteristic vectors of the external points on $\mathbf{P}^{\perp}$.

Our idea of calculating $\dim_F(\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}))$ is to find a decomposition of $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}})$ into a direct sum of its submodules whose dimensions can be computed easily. To this end, we apply Brauer's theory and compute the decomposition of $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}})$ into blocks. The silmilar idea was used in [17] to compute the decomposition of $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi)$ into blocks as well as $\dim_F(\mathrm{Ker}(\phi))$. Nevertheless, there are two major differences between the current article and [17]: (1) the geometric results used to compute the decomposition of $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}})$ into blocks are essentially different from these used to compute the decomposition of $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi)$; (2) the summands of $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}})$ in its block decomposition are more complicated than these of $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi)$, which indicates that more efforts are required to find $\dim_F(\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}))$.

In the following we will give a brief overview of this article. In Section 2, we first review the basic facts about $\mathcal{O}$ and then prove several crucial geometric results. From them, in Section 5, we show that the 2-rank of the incidence matrix $\mathbf{D}$ of external points and $N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P})$ for $\mathbf{P} \in I$ (the set of external points on the passant lines through $\mathbf{P}$) is either q or $q-1$, depending on q. The character of the complex permutation module $\mathbb{C}^I$ and its decomposition into a sum of the irreducible ordinary characters of H were calculated in [19]; the decomposition of the characters of H into 2-blocks was given by Burkhardt [3] and Landrock [13]. From them we see that $\mathbb{C}^I$ is a direct sum of $\mathbb{C}H$-modules consisting of one simple module from each block of defect zero, and some summands from blocks of positive defect. According to Brauer's theory, $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}})$ is the direct sum

$$\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) = \bigoplus_{B} \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}})e_B \tag{1.5}$$

where e_B is a primitive idempotent in the center of FH. The block idempotents e_B are elements of FH and were computed in [17]. In order to compute $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}})e_B$ for each 2-block B, we need detailed information concerning the action of group elements in various conjugacy classes on various geometric objects and on the intersections of certain special subsets of H with various conjugacy classes of H. These computations are made in Sections 3 and 4. These information also tell us that (i) $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}})e_{B_0}$ is equal to the column space of

$\mathbf{D}$ over F, or this space plus an additional trivial module, depending on q, where B_0 is the principal 2-block of H, and (ii) block idempotents associated with non-principal 2-blocks of positive defect annihilate $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}})$ (Lemma 6.2). Since the B-component of F^I is the mod 2 reduction of the B-component of $\mathbb{C}^I$, using (i) and (ii), and the block decomposition of $\mathbb{C}^I$, we show that $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}})$ is equal to the direct sum of the column space of $\mathbf{D}$ and the simple modules lying in the 2-blocks of defect 0, or this sum plus an additional trivial module, depending on q. Then the dimension formula of $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}})$ follows instantly as a corollary.

2. Geometric Results

Recall that a *collineation* of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ is an automorphism of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$, which is a bijection from the set of all points and all lines of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ to itself that maps a point to a line and a line to a point, and preserves incidence. It is well known that each element of $\mathrm{GL}(3,q)$, the group of all 3×3 non-singular matrices over $\mathbb{F}_q$, induces a collineation of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$. The proof of the following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $\mathbf{P} = (a_0, a_1, a_2)$ (*respectively,* ℓ=$[b_0, b_1, b_2]$) *be a point* (*respectively, a line*) *of* $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$. *Suppose that* θ *is a collineation of* $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ *that is induced by* $\mathbf{D} \in GL(3,q)$. *If we use* $\mathbf{P}^\theta$ *and* ℓ^θ *to denote the images of* $\mathbf{P}$ *and* ℓ *under* θ, *respectively, then*

$$\mathbf{P}^\theta = (a_0, a_1, a_2)^\theta = (a_0, a_1, a_2)\mathbf{D}$$

and

$$\ell^\theta = [b_0, b_1, b_2]^\theta = [c_0, c_1, c_2],$$

where c_0, c_1, c_2 *correspond to the first, the second, and the third coordinate of the vector* $\mathbf{D}^{-1}(b_0, b_1, b_2)^\top$, *respectively.*

A *correlation* of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ is a bijection from the set of points to the set of lines as well as the set of lines to the set of points that reverses inclusion. A *polarity* of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ is a correlation of order 2. The image of a point $\mathbf{P}$ under a correlation σ is denoted by $\mathbf{P}^\sigma$, and that of a line ℓ is denoted by ℓ^σ. It can be shown [8, p. 181] that the non-degenerate quadratic form $Q(X_0, X_1, X_2) = X_1^2 - X_0X_2$ induces a polarity σ (or $\perp$) of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$, which can be represented by the matrix

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.2. ([10, p. 47]) *Let* $\mathbf{P} = (a_0, a_1, a_2)$ (*respectively,* $\ell = [b_0, b_1, b_2]$) *be a point* (*respectively, a line*) *of* $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$. *If* σ *is the polarity represented by the above non-singular symmetric matrix* $\mathbf{M}$, *then*

$$\mathbf{P}^\sigma = (a_0, a_1, a_2)^\sigma = [c_0, c_1, c_2]$$

and

$$\ell^\sigma = [b_0, b_1, b_2]^\sigma = (b_0, b_1, b_2)\mathbf{M}^{-1},$$

where c_0, c_1, c_2 *correspond to the first, the second, the third coordinate of the column vector* $\mathbf{M}(a_0, a_1, a_2)^\top$, *respectively.*

For example, if $\mathbf{P} = (x, y, z)$ is a point of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$, then its image under σ is $\mathbf{P}^\sigma = [z, -2y, x]$.

For convenience, we will denote the set of all non-zero squares of $\mathbb{F}_q$ by $\square_q$, and the set of non-squares by $\not\square_q$. Also, $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ is the set of non-zero elements of $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Lemma 2.3. ([8, p. 181–182]) *Assume that* q *is odd.*

(i) *The polarity* σ *above defines three bijections; that is,* $\sigma : I \to Pa$, $\sigma : E \to Se$, *and* $\sigma : \mathcal{O} \to T$ *are all bijections.*
(ii) *A line* $[b_0, b_1, b_2]$ *of* $\mathrm{PG}(2, q)$ *is a passant, a tangent, or a secant to* $\mathcal{O}$ *if and only if* $b_1^2 - 4b_0b_2 \in \not\square_q$, $b_1^2 - 4b_0b_2 = 0$, *or* $b_1^2 - 4b_0b_2 \in \square_q$, *respectively.*
(iii) *A point* (a_0, a_1, a_2) *of* $\mathrm{PG}(2, q)$ *is internal, absolute, or external if and only if* $a_1^2 - a_0a_2 \in \not\square_q$, $a_1^2 - a_0a_2 = 0$, *or* $a_1^2 - a_0a_2 \in \square_q$, *respectively.*

The results in the following lemma can be obtained by simple counting; see [8] for more details and related results.

Lemma 2.4. ([8, p. 170]) *Using the above notation, we have*

$$|T| = |\mathcal{O}| = q + 1,\ |Pa| = |I| = \frac{q(q-1)}{2},\ \textit{and}\ |Se| = |E| = \frac{q(q+1)}{2}. \tag{2.2}$$

Also, we have the following tables:

Table 1. Number of points on lines of various types

Name	*Absolute points*	*External points*	*Internal points*
Tangent lines	1	q	0
Secant lines	2	$\frac{q-1}{2}$	$\frac{q-1}{2}$
Passant lines	0	$\frac{q+1}{2}$	$\frac{q+1}{2}$

Table 2. Number of lines through points of various types

Name	*Tangent lines*	*Secant lines*	*Skew lines*
Absolute points	1	q	0
External points	2	$\frac{q-1}{2}$	$\frac{q-1}{2}$
Internal points	0	$\frac{q+1}{2}$	$\frac{q+1}{2}$

2.1. **More geometric results.** Let G be the automorphism group of $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathrm{PGL}(3, q)$ (i.e. the subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}(3, q)$ fixing $\mathcal{O}$ setwise). Then G is the image in $\mathrm{PGL}(3, q)$ of $\mathrm{O}(3, q) = \mathrm{SO}(3, q) \times \langle -1 \rangle$, hence also the image of $\mathrm{SO}(3, q)$, to which it is isomorphic. For our computations, we will describe G in a slightly different way. The map $\tau : \mathrm{GL}(2, q) \to \mathrm{GL}(3, q)$ sending the matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ to

$$\begin{pmatrix} a^2 & ab & b^2 \\ 2ac & ad + bc & 2bd \\ c^2 & cd & d^2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.3}$$

is a group homomorphism. The image of $\tau(\mathrm{GL}(2, q))$ in $\mathrm{PGL}(3, q)$ lies in G. Now, whether or not the group $\tau(\mathrm{GL}(2, q))$ contains $\mathrm{SO}(3, q)$ depends on q. Nevertheless, $\tau(\mathrm{GL}(2, q))$ always contains a subgroup of index 2 in $\mathrm{O}(3, q)$ whose image in $\mathrm{PGL}(3, q)$ is G. Thus, the induced homomorphism $\overline{\tau} : \mathrm{PGL}(2, q) \to \mathrm{PGL}(3, q)$ maps $\mathrm{PGL}(2, q)$ isomorphically onto G.

Let $H = \tau(\mathrm{SL}(2, q))$, the group of matrices of the form (2.3) such that $ad - bc = 1$. Since the kernel of τ is $\langle -I_2 \rangle$, it follows that $H \cong \mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$ and that H is isomorphic to its image $\overline{H}$ in $\mathrm{PGL}(3, q)$. In fact, we have $H = \Omega(3, q)$.

Since

$$\mathrm{PGL}(2,q) = \mathrm{PSL}(2,q) \cup \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathrm{PSL}(2,q),$$

our discussion shows that

$$H \cup \mathbf{d}(1, \xi^{-1}, \xi^{-2}) \cdot H \tag{2.4}$$

is a full set of representative matrices for the elements of G. In our computations, it will often be convenient to refer to elements of G by means of their representatives in the set (2.4). Additionally, a group element in (2.3) has the inverse equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} d^2 & -bd & b^2 \\ -2cd & ad+bc & -2ab \\ c^2 & -ac & c^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.5}$$

Moreover, the following holds.

Lemma 2.5. [7] *The group G acts transitively on I and Pa as well as on E and Se.*

We will refer to this lemma frequently in the rest of this section.

Lemma 2.6. [17, Lemma 2.9] *Let $\mathbf{P}$ be a point not on $\mathcal{O}$, ℓ a non-tangent line, and $\mathbf{P} \in \ell$. Using the above notation, we have the following.*

(i) *If $\mathbf{P} \in I$ and $\ell \in Pa$, then $\mathbf{P}^\perp \cap \ell \in E$ if $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, and $\mathbf{P}^\perp \cap \ell \in I$ if $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$.*

(ii) *If $\mathbf{P} \in I$ and $\ell \in Se$, then $\mathbf{P}^\perp \cap \ell \in I$ if $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, and $\mathbf{P}^\perp \cap \ell \in E$ if $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$.*

(iii) *If $\mathbf{P} \in E$ and $\ell \in Pa$, then $\mathbf{P}^\perp \cap \ell \in I$ if $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, and $\mathbf{P}^\perp \cap \ell \in E$ if $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$.*

(iv) *If $\mathbf{P} \in E$ and $\ell \in Se$, then $\mathbf{P}^\perp \cap \ell \in E$ if $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, and $\mathbf{P}^\perp \cap \ell \in I$ if $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$.*

Next we define $\Box_q - 1 := \{s - 1 \mid s \in \Box_q\}$ and $\not\Box_q - 1 := \{s - 1 \mid s \in \not\Box_q\}$.

Lemma 2.7. [18] *Using the above notation,*

(i) *if $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, then $|(\Box_q - 1) \cap \Box_q| = \frac{q-5}{4}$ and $|(\Box_q - 1) \cap \not\Box_q| = |(\not\Box_q - 1) \cap \Box_q| = |(\not\Box_q - 1) \cap \not\Box_q| = \frac{q-1}{4}$;*

(ii) *if $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, then $|(\not\Box_q - 1) \cap \Box_q| = \frac{q+1}{4}$ and $|(\Box_q - 1) \cap \Box_q| = |(\Box_q - 1) \cap \not\Box_q| = |(\not\Box_q - 1) \cap \not\Box_q| = \frac{q-3}{4}$.*

Definition 2.8. *Let $\mathbf{P}$ be a point not on $\mathcal{O}$ and ℓ a line. We define E_ℓ (respectively, I_ℓ) to be the set of external (respectively, internal) points on ℓ, $Pa_{\mathbf{P}}$ (respectively, $Se_{\mathbf{P}}$) the set of passant (respectively, secant) lines through $\mathbf{P}$, and $T_{\mathbf{P}}$ the set of tangent lines through $\mathbf{P}$. Also, $N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P})$ (respectively, $N_{Se,E}(\mathbf{P})$) is defined to be the set of external points on the passant (respectively, secant) lines through $\mathbf{P}$.*

In the following lemma, we list the sizes of the above defined sets as well as the action of G on these sets. Also, we adopt standard notation from permutation group theory. For instance, if $W \subseteq I$, then $W^g := \{w^g \mid w \in W\}$, $G_{\mathbf{P}}$ is the stabilizer of $\mathbf{P}$ in G, and for $M \subseteq G$, M^g is the conjugate of M under g.

Lemma 2.9. *Using the above notation, if $\mathbf{P} \in I$, we have*

(i) $|E_{\mathbf{P}^\perp}| = |Se_{\mathbf{P}}| = \frac{q+1}{2}$,

(ii) $|I_{\mathbf{P}^\perp}| = |Pa_{\mathbf{P}}| = \frac{q+1}{2}$,

(iii) $|N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P})| = |N_{Se,E}(\mathbf{P})| = \frac{(q+1)^2}{4}$;

moreover, if $\mathbf{P}$ is not a point on $\mathcal{O}$, ℓ is a non-tangent line, and $g \in G$, we have

(iv) $I_\ell^g = I_{\ell^g}$ *and* $Pa_{\mathbf{P}}^g = Pa_{\mathbf{P}^g}$,
(v) $E_\ell^g = E_{\ell^g}$ *and* $Se_{\mathbf{P}}^g = Se_{\mathbf{P}^g}$,
(vi) $H_{\mathbf{P}}^g = H_{\mathbf{P}^g}$,
(vii) $N_{Pa,E}^g(\mathbf{P}) = N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P}^g)$ *and* $N_{Se,E}^g(\mathbf{P}) = N_{Se,E}(\mathbf{P}^g)$,
(viii) $(\mathbf{P}^\perp)^g = (\mathbf{P}^g)^\perp$, *where* $\perp$ *is the polarity of* $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ *defined as above.*

Proof: The above (i) - (iii) follow from from Tables 1 and 2 and simple counting, and (iv) - (vii) follow from the fact that G preserves incidence. □

By the defintion of G, it is clear that the following two lemmas are true.

Lemma 2.10. *Let* $\mathbf{P}$ *be a point of* $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$. *Then the polarity* $\perp$ *defines a bijection between* $I_{\mathbf{P}^\perp}$ *and* $Pa_{\mathbf{P}}$, *and also a bijection between* $E_{\mathbf{P}^\perp}$ *and* $Se_{\mathbf{P}}$.

Lemma 2.11. *Let* W *be a subgroup of* G. *Suppose that* $g \in G$ *and* $\mathbf{P}$ *is a point of* $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$. *Then*

$$(W^g)_{\mathbf{P}^g} = W_{\mathbf{P}}^g.$$

Proposition 2.12. *Let* $\mathbf{P}$ *be a point not on* $\mathcal{O}$ *and set* $K = G_{\mathbf{P}}$. *Then* K *is transitive on each of* $I_{\mathbf{P}^\perp}$, $E_{\mathbf{P}^\perp}$, $Pa_{\mathbf{P}}$, *and* $Se_{\mathbf{P}}$. *Moreover, if* $\mathbf{P} \in E$, *then* K *is also transitive on* $T_{\mathbf{P}}$.

Proof: The case where $\mathbf{P} \in I$ is Proposition 2.11 in [19]; the case where $\mathbf{P} \in E$ or $\mathcal{O}$ is Lemma 2.11(iii) in [17]. □

Lemma 2.13. [17, Corollary 2.16] *Let* $\mathbf{P}$ *be a point of* $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ *and let* $\perp$ *be the polarity of* $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ *defined above. Then for* $g \in G_{\mathbf{P}}$ *we have* $\mathbf{P}^\perp = (\mathbf{P}^\perp)^g$. *Consequently,* $\mathbf{P}^\perp$ *is fixed setwise by* $G_{\mathbf{P}}$. *Moreover,* $G_{\mathbf{P}^\perp} = G_{\mathbf{P}}$.

Lemma 2.14. *Assume that* $\mathbf{P} \in I$ *and* $\ell = \mathbf{P}^\perp$. *Let* $\mathbf{Q} \in E_\ell$ *and* $\ell^* \in T_{\mathbf{Q}}$. *Suppose that* $\mathbf{P}_1$ *and* $\mathbf{P}_2$ *are two distinct external points on* ℓ^* *and let* ℓ_1 *and* ℓ_2 *be the tangent lines different from* ℓ^* *through* $\mathbf{P}_1$ *and* $\mathbf{P}_2$, *respectively. Then* ℓ_1 *and* ℓ_2 *meet in an external point on a secant line through* $\mathbf{P}$ *if and only if one of the following two cases occurs:*

(i) $\mathbf{P}_1$ *and* $\mathbf{P}_2$ *are on two passant lines through* $\mathbf{P}$*;*
(ii) $\mathbf{P}_1$ *and* $\mathbf{P}_2$ *are on two secant lines through* $\mathbf{P}$.

Proof: Since G is transitive on I and preserves incidence, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathbf{P} = (1, 0, -\xi)$, and thus $\ell = [1, 0, -\xi^{-1}]$. Since $K := G_{\mathbf{P}}$ is transitive on E_ℓ by Proposition 2.12, we can assume that $\mathbf{Q} = (0, 1, 0)$. Let $\ell^* = [1, 0, 0]$ be a tangent line through $\mathbf{Q}$. It is clear that

$$E_{\ell^*} = \{(0, 1, m) \mid m \in \mathbb{F}_q\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{P}_1 = (0, 1, m_1)$ and $\mathbf{P}_2 = (0, 1, m_2)$ be two distinct external points on ℓ^*. Then the tangent lines through $\mathbf{P}_1$ and $\mathbf{P}_2$ different from ℓ^* are $\ell_1 = [m_1^2, -4m_1, 4]$ and $\ell_2 = [m_2^2, -4m_2, 4]$, respectively. So we have that $\mathbf{P}_3 := \ell_1 \cap \ell_2 = (1, \frac{m_1+m_2}{4}, \frac{m_1m_2}{4}) \in E$. Thus the line through $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{P}_3$ is

$$\ell_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{P}_3} = \left[m_1 + m_2, -4\left(\frac{m_1m_2}{4\xi} + 1\right), \frac{m_1 + m_2}{\xi}\right],$$

which is a secant line if and only if

$$16\left(\frac{m_1m_2}{4\xi} + 1\right)^2 - \frac{4(m_1 + m_2)^2}{\xi} = \frac{(m_1^2 - 4\xi)(m_2^2 - 4\xi)}{\xi^2} \in \square_q$$

if and only if either $m_i^2 - 4\xi \in \not\square_q$ for $i = 1, 2$ or $m_i^2 - 4\xi \in \square_q$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since the line through $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{P}_i$ ($i = 1$ or 2) is $\ell_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{P}_i} = [1, -\frac{m_i}{\xi}, \frac{1}{\xi}]$, and its discrimnant is $\frac{m_i^2-4\xi}{\xi^2}$, we conclude that $\ell_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{P}_3}$ is a secant line if and only if either (i) $\mathbf{P}_1$ and $\mathbf{P}_2$ are on two passant lines through $\mathbf{P}$ or (ii) $\mathbf{P}_1$ and $\mathbf{P}_2$ are on two secant lines through $\mathbf{P}$. $\square$

Definition 2.15. *Let $N \subseteq E$. We define χ_N to be the characteristic (column) vector of N with respect to E; that is, χ_N is a column vector of length $|E|$ whose entries are indexed by the external points such that if $\mathbf{P} \in N$ then the entry of χ_N indexed by $\mathbf{P}$ is 1, 0 otherwise. For a line ℓ, if no confusion occurs, we shoule use χ_ℓ to replace ℓ_{E_ℓ}. Also, if $N = \{\mathbf{P}\}$ is a singleton set, we will frequently use $\chi_{\mathbf{P}}$ to replace $\chi_{\{\mathbf{P}\}}$.*

Remark 2.16. *In the rest of this section, χ_N for $N \subseteq E$ will be always viewed as a column vector over $\mathbb{Z}$, the ring of integer.*

Corollary 2.17. *Let $\mathbf{P} \in I$. Using the above notation, we have*

$$\chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P})} \equiv \sum_{\ell \in T(\mathbf{P},\ell(\mathbf{P}))} \chi_\ell \pmod 2,$$

where $\ell(\mathbf{P})$ is a tangent line through an external point on $\mathbf{P}^\perp$, $T(\mathbf{P},\ell(\mathbf{P}))$ is the set of tangent lines distinct from $\ell(\mathbf{P})$ through the external points that are on both $\ell(\mathbf{P})$ and the passant lines through $\mathbf{P}$, and the congruence means entrywise congruence.

Proof: It is clear that $|T(\mathbf{P},\ell(\mathbf{P}))| = \frac{q+1}{2}$ since there are $\frac{q+1}{2}$ passant lines through $\mathbf{P}$ and each of them meets $\ell(\mathbf{P})$ in an external point. Let $\ell \in T(\mathbf{P},\ell(\mathbf{P}))$. Then by Lemma 2.14, any tangent line other than ℓ in $T(\mathbf{P},\ell(\mathbf{P}))$ meets ℓ in an external point on a secant line through $\mathbf{P}$, and if we use $IE(\ell,\ell(\mathbf{P}))$ to denote their intersections with ℓ then the points in $E_\ell \setminus IE(\ell,\ell(\mathbf{P}))$ must be on the passant lines through $\mathbf{P}$. Since

$$(E_{\ell_1} \setminus IE(\ell_1,\ell(\mathbf{P}))) \cap (E_{\ell_2} \setminus IE(\ell_2,\ell(\mathbf{P}))) = \emptyset$$

for two distinct lines ℓ_1, $\ell_2 \in T(\mathbf{P},\ell(\mathbf{P}))$ and

$$|E_\ell \setminus IE(\ell,\ell(\mathbf{P}))| = q - \frac{q-1}{2} = \frac{q+1}{2},$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{\ell \in T(\mathbf{P},\ell(\mathbf{P}))} |E_\ell \setminus IE(\ell,\ell(\mathbf{P}))| = \sum_{\ell \in T(\mathbf{P},\ell(\mathbf{P}))} \frac{q+1}{2} = \frac{(q+1)^2}{4}$$

which is the same as the size of $N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P})$ by Lemma 2.9(iii). Consequently, we must have

$$\bigcup_{\ell \in T(\mathbf{P},\ell(\mathbf{P}))} E_\ell \setminus IE(\mathbf{P},\ell(\mathbf{P})) = \bigcup_{\ell \in Pa_{\mathbf{P}}} E_\ell = N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P}).$$

Moreover, since each point in $IE(\ell,\ell(\mathbf{P}))$ lies on exactly two lines in $T(\mathbf{P},\ell(\mathbf{P}))$ and each point in $E_\ell \setminus IE(\ell,\ell(\mathbf{P}))$ doesn't lie on any line other than ℓ in $T(\mathbf{P},\ell(\mathbf{P}))$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\ell \in T(\mathbf{P},\ell(\mathbf{P}))} \chi_{E_\ell} &= \sum_{\ell \in T(\mathbf{P},\ell(\mathbf{P}))} \chi_{E_\ell \setminus IE(\ell,\ell(\mathbf{P}))} + \sum_{\ell \in T(\mathbf{P},\ell(\mathbf{P}))} \sum_{\mathbf{Q} \in IE(\ell,\ell(\mathbf{P}))} \chi_{\mathbf{Q}} \\
&= \sum_{\ell \in T(\mathbf{P},\ell(\mathbf{P}))} \chi_{E_\ell \setminus IE(\ell,\ell(\mathbf{P}))} + 2 \sum_{\mathbf{Q} \in M} \chi_{\mathbf{Q}} \\
&\equiv \sum_{\ell \in T(\mathbf{P},\ell(\mathbf{P}))} \chi_{E_\ell \setminus IE(\ell,\ell(\mathbf{P}))} \qquad (2.6) \\
&= \sum_{\ell \in Pa_{\mathbf{P}}} \chi_\ell \\
&= \chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P})} \pmod 2
\end{aligned}$$

where $M = \{\ell_1 \cap \ell_2 \mid \ell_1, \ell_2 \in T(\mathbf{P},\ell(\mathbf{P})), \ell_1 \neq \ell_2\}$. $\square$

Lemma 2.18. *Assume that* $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$*. Let* $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{O}$*. Then there exits a set* $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{P})$ *consisting of an odd number of internal points such that, for each external point* $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbf{P}^{\perp}$*, the number of passant lines through* $\mathbf{Q}$ *and the points in* $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{P})$*, counted with multiplicity, is odd.*

Remark 2.19. *In this lemma, it is possible that* $\mathbf{Q}$*,* $\mathbf{Q}_1$*, ...,* $\mathbf{Q}_k$ *are on the same passant line* ℓ*, where* $\mathbf{Q} \in E_{\mathbf{P}^{\perp}}$ *and* $\mathbf{Q}_i \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{P})$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq k$*. If this circumstance occurs, then the line* ℓ *should be counted* k *times.*

Proof: Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathbf{P} = (0,0,1)$, and so $\ell := \mathbf{P}^{\perp} = [1,0,0]$. Using Lemma 2.1 and (2.5), we have

$$K := H_\ell = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} d^2 & -bd & b^2 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{2b}{d} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{d^2} \end{array} \right) \middle| \, d \in \mathbb{F}_q^*, b \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\}. \tag{2.7}$$

Since

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & -b & b^2 \\ 0 & 1 & -2b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)^k = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & -kb & (kb)^2 \\ 0 & 1 & -2kb \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \tag{2.8}$$

for any positive integer k, it is obvious that

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & -b & b^2 \\ 0 & 1 & -2b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \middle| \, b \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\} \tag{2.9}$$

is a collineation subgroup of order q in K, which we denote by T.

For $(0,1,u_1)$, $(0,1,u_2)$ where u_1, $u_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $u_1 \neq u_2$, we have

$$(0,1,u_1) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & -\frac{u_1-u_2}{2} & \left(\frac{u_1-u_2}{2}\right)^2 \\ 0 & 1 & -(u_1-u_2) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) = (0,1,u_2);$$

this implies that T is transitive on $E_\ell = \{(0,1,u) \mid u \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$.

Now let $\mathbf{P}_1 = (1,0,-\xi) \in I$, set $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{P}) := \{\mathbf{P}_1^g \mid g \in T\}$ which is the T-orbit of $\mathbf{P}_1$, and let $\mathbf{Q} = (0,1,u) \in \ell$. Then

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{P}) = \{(1,-b,b^2-\xi) \mid b \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$$

and the lines through both $\mathbf{Q}$ and the points in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{P})$ form the multiset

$$L(\mathbf{Q}) = \{[b^2+ub-\xi, u, -1] \mid b \in \mathbb{F}_q\}.$$

Note that a line $[b^2+ub-\xi,u,-1] \in L(\mathbf{Q})$ is passant if and only if $\frac{(u+2b)^2}{4\xi} - 1 \in \square_q$. Since the number of $t \in \not\square_q$ satisfying $t-1 \in \square_q$ is $|(\not\square_q - 1) \cap \square_q| = \frac{q-1}{4}$ by Lemma 2.7(i), it follows that the number of $b \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{-\frac{u}{2}\}$ satisfying $\frac{(u+2b)^2}{4\xi} - 1 \in \square_q$ is $2(\frac{q-1}{4}) = \frac{q-1}{2}$. Moreover, when $b = -\frac{u}{2}$, $\frac{(u+2b)^2}{4\xi} - 1 = -1 \in \square_q$ as $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. Hence, the number of $b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ satisfying $\frac{(u+2b)^2}{4\xi} - 1 \in \square_q$ is $\frac{q-1}{2} + 1 = \frac{q+1}{2}$. Thus, counted with multiplicity, there are $\frac{q+1}{2}$ passant lines in $L(\mathbf{Q})$. Therefore, there are an odd number of internal points (precisely $\frac{q+1}{2}$) in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{P})$ connecting $\mathbf{Q}$ by a passant line as $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. Since T is transitive on both $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{P})$ and E_ℓ and preserves incidence, we conclude that the number of passant lines through an external point on $\mathbf{P}^{\perp}$ and the points in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{P})$, counted with multiplictiy, must be odd. $\square$

Remark 2.20. *Let* $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{O}$. *In the rest of this article, without being further mentioned,* $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{P})$ *always denotes a set of internal points associated with* $\mathbf{P}$ *satisfying the conditions in Lemma 2.18.*

Corollary 2.21. *Assume that* $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. *Let* ℓ *be a tangent line. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\ell &= \sum_{\mathbf{P}\in\mathcal{M}(\ell^\perp)} \sum_{\ell'\in Pa_{\mathbf{P}}} \chi_{\ell'} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{P}\in\mathcal{M}(\ell^\perp)} \chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P})} \pmod 2 \end{aligned}$$

where the congruence is entrywise congruence.

Proof: Let $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{M}(\ell^\perp)$. Then from Corollary 2.17, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P})} &= \sum_{\ell'\in Pa_{\mathbf{P}}} \chi_{\ell'} \\ &\equiv \sum_{\ell'\in T(\mathbf{P},\ell(\mathbf{P}))} \chi_{\ell'} \pmod 2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where $\ell(\mathbf{P})$ is a tangent line through an external point on $\mathbf{P}^\perp$ and $T(\mathbf{P}, \ell(\mathbf{P}))$ is the set of tangent lines different from $\ell(\mathbf{P})$ through the external points that are both $\ell(\mathbf{P})$ and the passant lines through $\mathbf{P}$.

Further, if we take $\ell(\mathbf{P}) = \ell$ for each $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{M}(\ell^\perp)$ and set $W(\mathbf{P}) := \{\ell \cap \ell_1 \mid \ell_1 \in Pa_{\mathbf{P}}\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{P}\in\mathcal{M}(\ell^\perp)} \sum_{\ell'\in Pa_{\mathbf{P}}} \chi_{\ell'} &\equiv \sum_{\mathbf{P}\in\mathcal{M}(\ell^\perp)} \sum_{\ell'\in T(\mathbf{P},\ell(\mathbf{P}))} \chi_{\ell'} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{P}\in\mathcal{M}(\ell^\perp)} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}\in W(\mathbf{P})} \sum_{\ell'\in T_{\mathbf{Q}}\setminus\{\ell\}} \chi_{\ell'} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{Q}\in E_\ell} \sum_{\ell'\in T_{\mathbf{Q}}\setminus\{\ell\}} a_{\ell'}\chi_{\ell'} \\ &= \sum_{\ell'\in T\setminus\{\ell\}} a_{\ell'}\chi_{\ell'} \\ &\equiv \sum_{\ell'\in T\setminus\{\ell\}} \chi_{\ell'} \pmod 2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where $a_{\ell'}$ for $\ell' \in T\setminus\{\ell\}$ are odd. In (2.11), the second equality follows from the definition of $T(\ell, \ell(\mathbf{P}))$ and the third equality holds since the multiset

$$\bigcup_{\mathbf{Q}\in E_\ell} \bigcup_{L(\mathbf{Q})} T_{\mathbf{Q}} \setminus \{\ell\}, \tag{2.12}$$

where $L(\mathbf{Q}) := \{\ell_{\mathbf{P}_1,\mathbf{Q}} \in Pa \mid \mathbf{P}_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\ell^\perp)\}$, is the same as the multiset

$$\bigcup_{\mathbf{P}\in\mathcal{M}(\ell^\perp)} \bigcup_{\mathbf{Q}\in W(\mathbf{P})} T_{\mathbf{Q}} \setminus \{\ell\},$$

and the tangent line ℓ' other than ℓ through an external point $\mathbf{Q}$ on ℓ occurs an odd number of times in (2.12) by Lemma 2.18.

Since $\sum_{\ell' \in T} \chi_{\ell'} \equiv 0 \pmod 2$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{M}(\ell^{\perp})} \sum_{\ell' \in Pa_{\mathbf{P}}} \chi_{\ell'} &\equiv \sum_{\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{M}(\ell^{\perp})} \chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P})} \\ &\equiv \sum_{\ell' \in T \setminus \{\ell\}} \chi_{\ell'} \\ &\equiv \chi_{\ell} + \sum_{\ell' \in T} \chi_{\ell'} \\ &\equiv \chi_{\ell} \pmod 2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.22. *Let* $\mathbf{P} \in E$ *and let* T_1 *and* T_2 *be the two tangent lines through* $\mathbf{P}$. *Assume that* $Z \subseteq (E_{T_1} \cup E_{T_2}) \setminus \{\mathbf{P}\}$. *Then there is a set* $\mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{P})$ *consisting of an even number of internal points such that, for any point* $\mathbf{Q} \in Z$, *the number of passant lines through* $\mathbf{Q}$ *and the points in* $\mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{P})$, *counted with multiplicity, is odd, and the number of passant lines through* $\mathbf{P}$ *and the points in* $\mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{P})$, *counted with mutiplicity, is even.*

Proof: Since G is transitive on E, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathbf{P} = (0,1,0)$, and thus $T_1 = [1,0,0]$ and $T_2 = [0,0,1]$ are two tangent lines through $\mathbf{P}$. Let $K := G_{\mathbf{P}}$ be the stabilizer of $\mathbf{P}$ in G. Using (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \left\{\mathbf{d}\left(d^2, 1, \tfrac{1}{d^2}\right) \middle| \, d^2 \in \square_q\right\} \cup \left\{\mathbf{ad}(\tfrac{1}{c^2}, -1, c^2) \middle| \, c^2 \in \square_q\right\} \\ &\cup \left\{\mathbf{d}\left(d^2, \tfrac{1}{\xi}, \tfrac{1}{d^2\xi^2}\right) \middle| \, d^2 \in \square_q\right\} \cup \left\{\mathbf{ad}(\tfrac{1}{c^2}, -\tfrac{1}{\xi}, \tfrac{c^2}{\xi^2}) \middle| \, c^2 \in \square_q\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Let $\mathbf{P}_1 = (1,1,x)$, where $x \in \not\square_q$ (respectively, $x \in \square_q$) and $1 - x \in \not\square_q$, be an internal point for $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ (respectively, $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$). (Note that such an x in the last coordinate of $\mathbf{P}_1$ exists in $\mathbb{F}_q$.) Then the K-orbit of $\mathbf{P}_1$ is

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_1} = \left\{\left(1, \frac{1}{d^2}, \frac{x}{d^4}\right) \middle| \, d^2 \in \square_q\right\} \cup \left\{\left(1, \frac{1}{\xi d^2}, \frac{x}{\xi^2 d^4}\right) \middle| \, d^2 \in \square_q\right\}.$$

To prove the first part of the lemma, we need only show that it holds for

$$Z = (E_{T_1} \cup E_{T_2}) \setminus \{\mathbf{P}\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{Q} = (0,1,1) \in Z$. Using (2.13), we have that $K_{\mathbf{Q}}$ only contains the identity collineation. So K is transitive on Z as $|Z| = |K| = 2(q-1)$. The lines through $\mathbf{Q}$ and the points in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_1}$ form the multiset

$$L(\mathbf{Q}) = \{[x - d^2, d^4, -d^4] \mid d^2 \in \square_q\} \cup \{[x - d^2\xi, d^4\xi^2, -d^4\xi^2] \mid d^2 \in \square_q\}.$$

A line in $L(\mathbf{Q})$ is passant if and only if

$$\frac{(d^2-2)^2}{4(1-x)} - 1 \in \square_q$$

or

$$\frac{(d^2\xi-2)^2}{4(1-x)} - 1 \in \square_q,$$

where $d^2 \in \square_q$. The number of d^2 satisfying either of the above two equations is equal to that of $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ satisfying $\frac{(t-2)^2}{4(1-x)} - 1 \in \square_q$ since $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \square_q \cup \square_q\xi$, where $\square_q\xi = \{d^2\xi \mid d^2 \in \square_q\}$. For the case where $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, since the number of $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$ satisfying $\frac{(t-2)^2}{4(1-x)} - 1 \in \square_q$ is equal to $2|(\not\square_q - 1) \cap \square_q| = 2(\frac{q+1}{4}) = \frac{q+1}{2}$ by Lemma 2.9(ii) and $t = 0$ is one of them, we see that the number of passant lines in $L(\mathbf{Q})$, counted with multiplicity, is $\frac{q-1}{2}$ which is odd since $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. For the case where $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, since the number of $t \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{2\}$ satisfying $\frac{(t-2)^2}{4(1-x)} - 1 \in \square_q$ is equal to $2|(\not\square_q - 1) \cap \square_q| = 2(\frac{q-1}{4}) = \frac{q-1}{2}$ by Lemma 2.9(i), $t = 0$ is not one of the solutions and $t = 2$ also satisfies $\frac{(t-2)^2}{4(1-x)} - 1 \in \square_q$, we see that the number of passant lines in $L(\mathbf{Q})$, counted with multiplicity, is $\frac{q+1}{2}$, which is odd as $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. Now we set $\mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{P}) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_1}$, and so $|\mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{P})| = q-1$ is even. Since K is transitive on both $Z = (E_{T_1} \cup E_{T_2}) \setminus \{\mathbf{P}\}$ and the points in $\mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{P})$, the number of passant lines through a point in Z and the points in $M'(\mathbf{P})$, counted with multiplicity, must be odd.

The lines through $\mathbf{P}$ and the points in $\mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{P})$ form the multiset

$$\left\{ \left[1, 0, -\frac{d^4}{x}\right] \middle| d^2 \in \square_q \right\} \cup \left\{ \left[1, 0, -\frac{d^4\xi^2}{x}\right] \middle| d^2 \in \square_q \right\},$$

each or none of which is a passant line accordingly as $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ or $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. Hence, we conclude that the number of passant lines through $\mathbf{P}$ and the points in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{P})$, counted with multiplicty, is even. □

Remark 2.23. *Let $\mathbf{P} \in E$. In the following discussion, without being further mentioned, $\mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{P})$ will always denote a set consisting of an even number of internal points that satisfy the conditions with $Z = E_{T_1} \setminus \{\mathbf{P}\}$ in the above lemma, where T_1 is one of the two tangent lines through $\mathbf{P}$.*

Corollary 2.24. *Let $\mathbf{P} \in E$ and let T_1 and T_2 be the two tangent lines through $\mathbf{P}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{T_1} + \chi_{T_2} &\equiv \sum_{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{P})} \sum_{\ell \in Se_{\mathbf{Q}}} \chi_\ell \\ &\equiv \sum_{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{P})} \chi_{N_{Se,E}(\mathbf{Q})} \pmod 2, \end{aligned}$$

where the congruence means entrywise congruence.

Proof: Let $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{P})$. Then Corollary 2.17 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{Q})} &\equiv \sum_{\ell' \in Pa_{\mathbf{Q}}} \chi_{\ell'} \\ &\equiv \sum_{\ell' \in T(\mathbf{Q}, \ell(\mathbf{Q}))} \chi_{\ell'} \pmod 2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where $\ell(\mathbf{Q})$ is a tangent line through an external point on $\mathbf{Q}^\perp$ and $T(\mathbf{Q}, \ell(\mathbf{Q}))$ is the set tangent lines through the external points that are on both $\ell(\mathbf{Q})$ and the passant lines through $\mathbf{Q}$. Let $\mathbf{1}$ be the all-one column vector of length $|E|$. Since

$$\mathbf{1} + \chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{Q})} \equiv \chi_{N_{Se,E}(\mathbf{Q})} \pmod 2 \tag{2.15}$$

and $|\mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{P})|$ is even, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{P})} \sum_{\ell \in Se_{\mathbf{Q}}} \chi_\ell &\equiv \sum_{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{P})} (\mathbf{1} + \chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{Q})}) \\ &\equiv \sum_{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{P})} \mathbf{1} + \sum_{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{P})} \chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{Q})} \\ &\equiv \sum_{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{P})} \chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{Q})} \\ &\equiv \sum_{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{P})} \sum_{\ell \in T(\mathbf{Q}, \ell(\mathbf{Q}))} \chi_\ell \pmod 2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Further, if we set $\ell(\mathbf{Q}) := T_1$ for each $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{M}^{'}(\mathbf{P})$ and set $W^{'}(\mathbf{Q}) := \{T_1 \cap \ell_1 \mid \ell_1 \in Pa_{\mathbf{Q}}\}$, since the multiset

$$\bigcup_{\mathbf{P}_1 \in E_{T_1}} \bigcup_{L^{'}(\mathbf{P}_1)} T_{\mathbf{P}_1} \setminus \{T_1\},$$

where $L^{'}(\mathbf{P}_1) = \{\ell_{\mathbf{P}_1,\mathbf{P}_2} \in Pa \mid \mathbf{P}_2 \in \mathcal{M}^{'}(\mathbf{P})\}$, is the same as the multiset

$$\bigcup_{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{M}^{'}(\mathbf{P})} \bigcup_{\mathbf{P}_1 \in W^{'}(\mathbf{Q})} T_{\mathbf{P}_1} \setminus \{T_1\}, \tag{2.17}$$

and the tangent line ℓ other than T_1 through an external point $\mathbf{P}_1 \neq \mathbf{P}$ (respectively, $\mathbf{P}_1 = \mathbf{P}$) on T_1 occurs an odd (respectively, even) number of times in (2.17) by Lemma 2.22, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{M}^{'}(\mathbf{P})} \sum_{\ell \in T(\mathbf{Q},\ell(\mathbf{Q}))} \chi_\ell &\equiv \sum_{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{M}^{'}(\mathbf{P})} \sum_{\mathbf{P}_1 \in W^{'}(\mathbf{Q})} \sum_{\ell \in T_{\mathbf{P}_1} \setminus \{T_1\}} \chi_\ell \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{P}_1 \in E_{T_1}} \sum_{\ell \in T_{\mathbf{P}_1} \setminus \{T_1\}} b_\ell \chi_\ell \\
&= b_{T_2} \chi_{T_2} + \sum_{\ell \in T \setminus \{T_1,T_2\}} b_\ell \chi_\ell \\
&\equiv \sum_{\ell \in T \setminus \{T_1,T_2\}} \chi_\ell \pmod 2,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

where b_ℓ for $\ell \in T \setminus \{T_1, T_2\}$ are all odd integers and b_{T_2} is an even integer.

Using (2.16), (2.18), and the fact that $\sum_{\ell \in T} \chi_\ell = \mathbf{0} \pmod 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{T_1} + \chi_{T_2} &\equiv \sum_{\chi \in T \setminus \{T_1,T_2\}} \chi_\ell \\
&\equiv \sum_{\mathbf{Q} \in M^{'}(\mathbf{P})} \sum_{\ell \in Pa_{\mathbf{Q}}} \chi_\ell \\
&\equiv \sum_{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{M}^{'}(\mathbf{P})} \chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{Q})} \pmod 2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

3. The Conjugacy Classes and Intersection Parity

In this section, we review the conjugacy classes of H and study their intersections with some special subsets of H.

3.1. **Conjugacy classes.** Recall that

$$H = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} a^2 & ab & b^2 \\ 2ac & ad+bc & 2bd \\ c^2 & cd & d^2 \end{array} \right) \middle| \, a,b,c,d \in \mathbb{F}_q, \ ad - bc = 1 \right\}$$

is the subgroup of G that is isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSL}(2,q)$. If we define $T = \mathrm{tr}(g) + 1$, where $g \in H$ and $\mathrm{tr}(g)$ is the trace of g, then the conjugacy classes of H can be read as follows.

Lemma 3.1. [17, Lemma 3.2] *The conjugacy classes of H are given as follows.*

(i) $D = \{\mathbf{d}(1,1,1)\}$;

(ii) F^+ *and* F^-, *where* $F^+ \cup F^- = \{g \in H \mid T(g) = 4, \ g \neq \mathbf{d}(1,1,1)\}$;

(iii) $[\theta_i] = \{g \in H \mid T(g) = \theta_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq \frac{q-5}{4}$ *if* $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, *or* $1 \leq i \leq \frac{q-3}{4}$ *if* $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, *where* $\theta_i \in \square_q$, $\theta_i \neq 4$, *and* $\theta_i - 4 \in \square_q$;

(iv) $[0] = \{g \in H \mid T(g) = 0\}$;

(v) $[\pi_k] = \{g \in H \mid T(g) = \pi_k\}$, $1 \leq k \leq \frac{q-1}{4}$ *if* $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, *or* $1 \leq k \leq \frac{q-3}{4}$ *if* $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, *where* $\pi_i \in \square_q$, $\pi_k \neq 4$, *and* $\pi_k - 4 \in \not\square_q$.

Remark 3.2. *The set* $F^+ \cup F^-$ *forms one conjugacy class of* G, *and splits into two equal-sized classes* F^+ *and* F^- *of* H. *For our purpose, we denote* $F^+ \cup F^-$ *by* $[4]$. *Also, each of* D, $[\theta_i]$, $[0]$, *and* $[\pi_k]$ *forms a single conjugacy class of* G. *The class* $[0]$ *consists of all the elements of order* 2 *in* H.

In the following, for convenience, we frequently use C to denote any one of D, $[0]$, $[4]$, $[\theta_i]$, or $[\pi_k]$. That is,

$$C = D, [0], [4], [\theta_i], \text{ or } [\pi_k]. \tag{3.1}$$

3.2. **Intersection properties.**

Definition 3.3. *Let* $\mathbf{P} \in I$, $\mathbf{Q} \in E$, $\ell \in Pa$. *We define*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} = \{h \in H \mid (\mathbf{P}^\perp)^h \in Pa_{\mathbf{Q}}\} \text{ and } \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell} = \{h \in H \mid (\mathbf{P}^\perp)^h = \ell\}.$$

That is, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}}$ *consists of all the elements of* H *that map the passant line* $\mathbf{P}^\perp$ *to a passant line through* $\mathbf{Q}$ *and* $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell}$ *is the set of elements in* H *that map* $\mathbf{P}^\perp$ *to the passant line* ℓ.

Using the above notation, since G preserves incidence, for $g \in G$, $\mathbf{P} \in I$, and $\ell \in Pa$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}^g_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P}^g,\mathbf{Q}^g},\ \mathcal{S}^g_{\mathbf{P},\ell} = \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P}^g,\ell^g}. \tag{3.2}$$

The following corollary is apparent.

Corollary 3.4. *Let* $g \in G$ *and* C *be given in* (3.1) *and let* $\mathbf{P}$ *and* $\mathbf{Q}$ *be two external points. Then* $(C \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}})^g = C \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P}^g,\mathbf{Q}^g}$.

Next the size of the intersection of each conjugacy class of H with K which stabilizes an element of I in H is calculated.

Corollary 3.5. *Let* $\mathbf{P} \in I$ *and* $K = H_{\mathbf{P}}$. *Then we have*

(i) $|K \cap D| = 1$;
(ii) $|K \cap [4]| = 0$;
(iii) $|K \cap [\pi_k]| = 2$ *for each* k;
(iv) $|K \cap [\theta_i]| = 0$ *for each* i;
(v) $|K \cap [0]| = \frac{q+1}{2}$ *or* $\frac{q-1}{2}$ *accordingly as* $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ *or* $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$.

Proof: Let $\mathbf{Q} = (1, 0, -\xi)$ and $K_1 = H_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Since H is transitive on I, it follows $\mathbf{Q}^g = \mathbf{P}$ for some $g \in H$. By Lemma 2.11, we have $K_1^g = K$. Consequently,

$$|K \cap C| = |(K_1 \cap C)^g|.$$

Therefore, to prove the corollary, it is enough to consider $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Q}$. It is clear that $|D \cap K| = 1$. Let $g \in K \cap C$. Then the quadruples (a, b, c, d) determining g satisfy the following equations

$$\begin{array}{rcl} bd - ac\xi & = & 0 \\ b^2 - a^2\xi & = & -\xi(d^2 - c^2\xi) \\ ad - bc & = & 1 \\ a + d & = & s, \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

where $s^2 = 0$, 4, π_k, θ_i. The equations in (3.3) give (1) $a = d = \frac{s}{2}$, $c^2 = \frac{s^2-4}{4\xi}$, $b^2 = \frac{(s^2-4)\xi}{4}$ and (2) $a = -d$, $s = 0$, $c^2\xi - 1 = a^2$. From Case (1), we see that $|K \cap [\pi_k]| = 2$ for each $[\pi_k]$ and $|K \cap C| = 0$ for $C = [\theta_i]$, $[4]$; moreover, if $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, we obtain one group element $\mathbf{ad}(-\xi, -1, \xi^{-1}) \in K \cap [0]$ in Case (1). Since the number of $t \in \not\square_q$ satisfying $t - 1 \in \square_q$ is $\frac{q-1}{4}$ or $\frac{q-3}{4}$ accordingly as $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ or $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ by Lemma 2.9, the number of $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ satisfying $c^2\xi - 1 \in \square_q$ is $2|(\not\square_q - 1) \cap \square_q|$ which is $\frac{q-1}{2}$ or $\frac{q-3}{2}$ accordingly as $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ or $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. When $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, $c = 0$ also satisfies $c^2\xi - 1 \in \square_q$. Therefore, Case (1) and Case (2) give $\frac{q+1}{2}$ or $\frac{q-1}{2}$ different group elements in $K \cap [0]$ depending on q. Now the corollary is proved. $\square$

In the following lemma, we investigate the parity of $|\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap C|$ for each $C \neq [0]$ and $\mathbf{P} \in I$, $\mathbf{Q} \in E$. Recall that $\ell_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}}$ is the line through $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{Q}$.

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that* $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. *Let* $\mathbf{P} \in I$ *and* $\mathbf{Q} \in E$. *Suppose that* $C = D$, $[4]$, $[\pi_k]$ $(1 \leq k \leq \frac{q-1}{4})$, $[\theta_i]$ $(1 \leq i \leq \frac{q-5}{4})$.

- (i) *If* $\ell_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \in Se_{\mathbf{P}}$, *then* $|\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap C|$ *is even for each* C.
- (ii) *If* $\ell_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \in Pa_{\mathbf{P}}$ *and* $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbf{P}^{\perp}$, *then* $|\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap C|$ *is odd if and only if* $C = D$.
- (iii) *If* $\ell_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \in Pa_{\mathbf{P}}$ *and* $\mathbf{Q} \notin \mathbf{P}^{\perp}$, *for each class* $[\pi_k]$ *with* $1 \leq k \leq \frac{q-1}{4}$, *there are two different points* $\mathbf{Q}_1$, $\mathbf{Q}_2 \in E_{\ell_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}}}$ *such that* $|[\pi_k] \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}_j}|$ *is odd for* $j = 1, 2$; *moreover, the two points associated with one class* $[\pi_{k_1}]$ *are different from those associated with the other class* $[\pi_{k_2}]$, *where* $[\pi_{k_1}] \neq [\pi_{k_2}]$.

Proof: Since G acts transitively on I and preserves incidence, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathbf{P} = (1, 0, -\xi)$. From (2.4), it follow that

$$\begin{aligned}
&K := G_{\mathbf{P}} = \\
&\left\{ \left. \begin{pmatrix} d^2 & cd\xi & c^2\xi^2 \\ 2cd & d^2 + c^2\xi & 2cd\xi \\ c^2 & cd & d^2 \end{pmatrix} \right| d, c \in \mathbb{F}_q, d^2 - c^2\xi = 1 \right\} \\
&\bigcup \left\{ \left. \begin{pmatrix} d^2 & -cd\xi & c^2\xi^2 \\ 2cd & -d^2 - c^2\xi & 2cd\xi \\ c^2 & -cd & d^2 \end{pmatrix} \right| d, c \in \mathbb{F}_q, -d^2 + c^2\xi = 1 \right\} \\
&\bigcup \left\{ \left. \begin{pmatrix} d^2 & cd & c^2 \\ 2cd\xi^{-1} & d^2 + c^2\xi^{-1} & 2cd \\ c^2\xi^{-2} & cd\xi^{-1} & d^2 \end{pmatrix} \right| d, c \in \mathbb{F}_q, d^2\xi - c^2 = 1 \right\} \\
&\bigcup \left\{ \left. \begin{pmatrix} d^2 & -cd & c^2 \\ 2cd\xi^{-1} & -d^2 - c^2\xi^{-1} & 2cd \\ c^2\xi^{-2} & -cd\xi^{-1} & d^2 \end{pmatrix} \right| d, c \in \mathbb{F}_q, -d^2\xi + c^2 = 1 \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Since K is transitive on both $Pa_{\mathbf{P}}$ and $Se_{\mathbf{P}}$ by Proposition 2.12 and

$$|\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap C| = |(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap C)^g| = |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}^g} \cap C|$$

by Corollary 3.4, we may assume that $\mathbf{Q}$ is on either ℓ_1 or ℓ_2, where $\ell_1 = [1, 0, \xi^{-1}] \in Pa_{\mathbf{P}}$ and $\ell_2 = [0, 1, 0] \in Se_{\mathbf{P}}$.

Case I. $\mathbf{Q} \in \ell_1$ **and** $\mathbf{Q} \notin \mathbf{P}^{\perp}$.

In this case, $\mathbf{Q} = (1, x, -\xi)$ for some $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $x^2 + \xi \in \square_q$, and

$$Pa_{\mathbf{Q}} = \{[1, s, (1 + sx)\xi^{-1}] \mid s \in \mathbb{F}_q, s^2 - 4(1 + sx)\xi^{-1} \in \not\square_q\}.$$

Using (3.4), we obtain that

$$K_{\mathbf{Q}} = \{\mathbf{d}(1, 1, 1), \mathbf{ad}(1, -\xi^{-1}, \xi^{-2})\}.$$

It is apparent that $\mathbf{d}(1, 1, 1)$ fixes each line in $Pa_{\mathbf{Q}}$. From

$$\mathbf{ad}(1, -\xi^{-1}, \xi^{-2})^{-1}(1, s, (1 + sx)\xi^{-1})^{\top} = ((1 + sx)\xi, -s\xi, 1)^{\top},$$

it follows that $[1, s, (1 + sx)\xi^{-1}] \in Pa_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is fixed by $K_{\mathbf{Q}}$ if and only if $s = 0$ or $s = -2x^{-1}$. Therefore, $K_{\mathbf{Q}}$ has two orbits of length 1 on $Pa_{\mathbf{Q}}$, i.e. $\{\ell_1 = [1, 0, \xi^{-1}]\}$ and

$\{\ell_3 = [1, -2x^{-1}, -\xi^{-1}]\}$, and all other orbits, whose representatives are $\mathcal{R}_1$, have length 2. From

$$|\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap C| = |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell_1} \cap C| + |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell_3} \cap C| + 2 \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{R}_1} |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell} \cap C|,$$

it follows that the parity of $|\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap C|$ is determined by that of $|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell_1} \cap C| + |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell_3} \cap C|$. Here we used the fact that $|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell} \cap C| = |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell'} \cap C|$ if $\{\ell, \ell'\}$ is an orbit of $K_{\mathbf{P}}$ on $Pa_{\mathbf{Q}}$. It is clear that $|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell} \cap D| = |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell_3} \cap D| = 0$.

Note that the quadruples (a, b, c, d) that determine group elements in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell_1} \cap C$ satisfy the following equations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} -2cd + 2ab\xi^{-1} & = & 0 \\ c^2 - a^2\xi^{-1} & = & (d^2 - b^2\xi^{-1})\xi^{-1} \\ a + d & = & s \\ ad - bc & = & 1 \end{array} \tag{3.5}$$

where $s^2 = 4$, π_k, θ_i. The first two equations in (3.5)give $c = \pm\sqrt{-1}c\xi^{-1}$ and $a = \pm\sqrt{-1}d$. Combining them with the last two equationsin (3.5), we obtain 0, 4 or 8 quadruples (a, b, c, d) satisfying the above equations, among which, both (a, b, c, d) and $(-a, -b, -c, -d)$ do appear at the same time. Therefore, $|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell_1} \cap C|$ is 0, 2, or 4. Particularly, in [0], there might be only 2 elements satisfying the above conditions.

Similarly, the quadruples (a, b, c, d) that determine a group element in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell_3} \cap C$ satisfy the following equations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} -2cd + 2ab\xi^{-1} & = & -2x^{-1}(d^2 - b^2\xi^{-1}) \\ c^2 - a^2\xi^{-1} & = & -\xi^{-1}(d^2 - b^2\xi^{-1}) \\ a + d & = & s \\ ad - bc & = & 1, \end{array} \tag{3.6}$$

where $s^2 = 4$, π_k, θ_i. The first two equations in (3.6) give

$$d^2 - b^2\xi^{-1} = \pm A, \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$A = \sqrt{\frac{1}{(x^2 + \xi^{-1})\xi}}. \tag{3.8}$$

From (3.7), $c^2 - d^2 = \mp A\xi^{-1}$ and $a^2 + d^2 = s^2 - 2 - 2bc$, it follows that

$$(b\xi^{-1} + c)^2 = -(\pm 2A + 2 - s^2)\xi^{-1}. \tag{3.9}$$

Hence, if (3.6) determines an odd number of group elements, then

$$-(\pm 2A + 2 - s^2)\xi^{-1} \notin \not\Box_q.$$

If $-(\pm 2A + 2 - s^2)\xi^{-1} \in \Box_q$ and we set $B_{(\pm)} := \sqrt{-(\pm 2A + 2 - s^2)\xi^{-1}}$, by $c^2 - d^2\xi^{-1} = \pm A\xi^{-1}$ and $a^2 - b^2\xi^{-1} = \mp A\xi^{-1}$, we have

$$d = \frac{1}{2s}[s^2 + (\xi B_{(\pm)}^2 - 2B_{(\pm)}b)] \ \left(\text{or } d = \frac{1}{2s}[s^2 + (\xi B_{(\pm)}^2 + 2B_{(\pm)}b)]\right) \tag{3.10}$$

and thus

$$a = \frac{1}{2s}[s^2 - (\xi B_{(\pm)}^2 - 2B_{(\pm)}b)] \ \left(\text{or } a = \frac{1}{2s}[s^2 - (\xi B_{(\pm)}^2 + 2B_{(\pm)}b)]\right). \tag{3.11}$$

Combining $b = (\pm B_{(\pm)} - c)\xi^{-1}$ and $ad - bc = 1$, we have

$$\left(\xi - \frac{B_{(\pm)}^2\xi^2}{s^2}\right)c^2 + \left(\frac{\xi B_{(\pm)}^3\xi^2}{s^2} - B_{(\pm)}\xi\right)c + \left(\frac{s^2}{4} - \frac{B_{(\pm)}^4\xi^2}{4s^2} - 1\right) = 0 \tag{3.12}$$

or

$$\left(\xi-\frac{B_{(\pm)}^2\xi^2}{s^2}\right)c^2-\left(\frac{\xi B_{(\pm)}^3\xi^2}{s^2}-B_{(\pm)}\xi\right)c+\left(\frac{s^2}{4}-\frac{B_{(\pm)}^4\xi^2}{4s^2}-1\right)=0. \tag{3.13}$$

The discriminant of (3.12) or (3.13) is

$$\Delta=\xi^2\left(\frac{\xi B_{(\pm)}^2\xi}{s^2}-1\right)\left(\frac{s^2}{\xi}-\frac{4}{\xi}-B_{(\pm)}^2\right)=\frac{4x^2\xi}{(x^{-2}+\xi^{-1})s^2}\in\square_q. \tag{3.14}$$

From (3.10), (3.11), (3.12), (3.13), and (3.14), it follows that (3.6) produces 2 or 4 group elements; that is, $|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell_3}\cap C|=2$ or 4.

If $-(\pm 2A+2-s^2)\xi^{-1}=0$, then s^2 is one of $2A+2$ and $-2A+2$ since

$$(2A+2)(-2A+2)=\frac{4x^2}{x^2+\xi^{-1}}\in\not\square_q.$$

Therefore, in this case, we have $|[s^2]\cap\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell_3}|=1$. It is also clear that, for the same $[s^2]$,

$$|[s^2]\cap\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{P}_1^\perp}|$$

is odd, where $\mathbf{P}_1=(1,-x,-\xi)\in E_{\ell_3}$. Moreover, when x runs over

$$L:=\{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*\mid x^2+\xi\in\square_q\}$$

once, each $[\pi_k]$ with $1\leq k\leq\frac{q-1}{4}$ appears exactly twice in the multiset

$$\left\{2\sqrt{\frac{1}{(x^{-2}+\xi^{-1})\xi}}+2\right\}\bigcup\left\{-2\sqrt{\frac{1}{(x^{-2}+\xi^{-1})\xi}}+2\right\}.$$

Note that

$$\pm\frac{2}{\sqrt{(x_1^{-2}+\xi^{-1})\xi}}+2=\pm\frac{2}{\sqrt{(x_2^{-2}+\xi^{-1})\xi}}+2$$

if and only if $x_1=\pm x_2$. Therefore, for each class $[\pi_k]$ with $1\leq k\leq\frac{q-1}{4}$, there are two different points $\mathbf{Q}_1$, $\mathbf{Q}_2\in E_{\ell_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}}}$ such that $|[\pi_k]\cap\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}_j}|$ is odd for $j=1$, 2; further, the two points associated with one class $[\pi_{k_1}]$ are different from those associated with the other class $[\pi_{k_2}]$, where $[\pi_{k_1}]\neq[\pi_{k_2}]$. The proof of (iii) is completed.

Case II. $\mathbf{Q}=\ell_1\cap\mathbf{P}^\perp$.

In this case, $\mathbf{Q}=(0,1,0)$. From (3.4), it follows that

$$K_{\mathbf{Q}}=\{\mathbf{d}(1,1,1),\mathbf{ad}(-1,1,-1),\mathbf{d}(-1,-\xi^{-1},-\xi^{-2}),\mathbf{ad}(1,-\xi^{-1},\xi^{-2})\}.$$

Since $Pa_{\mathbf{Q}}=\{[1,0,-x]\mid x\in\not\square_q\}$, it follows that the passant lines through $\mathbf{Q}$ that are fixed by $K_{\mathbf{Q}}$ are $\ell_1=[1,0,\xi^{-1}]$ and $\ell_4=[1,0,-\xi^{-1}]$. Thus, $K_{\mathbf{Q}}$ has two orbits of length 1 on $Pa_{\mathbf{Q}}$ and all the other orbits, whose representatives are $\mathcal{R}_2$, have length 2. By

$$|\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}}\cap C|=|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell_1}\cap C|+|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell_4}\cap C|+2\sum_{\ell\in\mathcal{R}_2}|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell}\cap C|,$$

we obtain that the parity of $|\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}}\cap C|$ is determined by that of $|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell_1}\cap C|+|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell_4}\cap C|$. From the discussions in **Case I**, we know that $|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell_1}\cap C|$ always even. Since $\ell_4=\mathbf{P}^\perp$ and $G_{\mathbf{P}}=G_{\mathbf{P}^\perp}$ by Lemma 2.13, it follows from Corollary 3.5 that $|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P},\ell_4}\cap C|$ is odd if and only if $C=D$. The proof of (ii) is completed.

Case III. $\mathbf{Q}\in\ell_2$.

In this case , $\mathbf{Q}=(1,0,-y)$ for some $y\in\square_q$. Using (3.4), we see that

$$K_{\mathbf{Q}}=\{\mathbf{d}(1,1,1),\mathbf{d}(-1,1,-1)\}.$$

Moreover, all the orbits of $K_{\mathbf{Q}}$ on $Pa_{\mathbf{Q}} = \{[1, s, y^{-1}] \mid s \in \mathbb{F}_q^*, s^2 - 4y^{-1} \in \not\square_q\}$ have length 2, then $|\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap C|$ is even for each C. Part (i) is proved.

□

Lemma 3.7. *Assume that* $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. *Let* $\mathbf{P} \in I$ *and* $\mathbf{Q} \in E$. *Suppose that* $C = D$, $[4]$, $[\pi_k]$ $(1 \le k \le \frac{q-3}{4})$, $[\theta_i]$ $(1 \le i \le \frac{q-3}{4})$.

(i) *If* $\ell_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \in Se_{\mathbf{P}}$ *and* $\mathbf{Q} \notin \mathbf{P}^{\perp}$, *for each class* $[\theta_i]$ *with* $1 \le i \le \frac{q-3}{4}$, *there are two different points* $\mathbf{Q}_1$, $\mathbf{Q}_2 \in E_{\ell_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}}}$ *such that* $|[\theta_i] \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}_j}|$ *is odd for* $j = 1, 2$*; moreover, the two points associated with one class* $[\theta_{i_1}]$ *are different from those associated with the other class* $[\theta_{i_2}]$, *where* $[\theta_{i_1}] \neq [\theta_{i_2}]$.

(ii) *If* $\ell_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \in Se_{\mathbf{P}}$ *and* $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbf{P}^{\perp}$, *then* $|\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap C|$ *is odd if and only if* $C = D$.

(iii) *If* $\ell_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \in Pa_{\mathbf{P}}$, *then* $|\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap C|$ *is even for each* C.

Proof: The proof is essentially the same as the one of Lemma 3.6. We omit the details. □

4. GROUP ALGEBRA FH

4.1. **2-Blocks of H.** In this section we recall several results on the 2-blocks of $H \cong PSL(2, q)$. We refer the reader to [14] or [2] for a general introduction on this subject.

Let $\mathbf{R}$ be the ring of algebraic integers in the complex field $\mathbb{C}$. We choose a maximal ideal $\mathbf{M}$ of $\mathbf{R}$ containing $2\mathbf{R}$. Let $F = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{M}$ be the residue field of characteristic 2, and let $* : \mathbf{R} \to F$ be the natural ring homomorphism. Define

$$\mathbf{S} \;=\; \{\tfrac{r}{s} \mid r \in \mathbf{R},\ s \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{M}\}. \tag{4.1}$$

Then it is clear that the map $* : \mathbf{S} \to F$ defined by $(\frac{r}{s})^* = r^*(s^*)^{-1}$ is a ring homomorphism with kernel $\mathcal{P} = \{\frac{r}{s} \mid r \in \mathbf{M},\ s \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{M}\}$. In the rest of this article, F will always be the field of characteristic 2 constructed as above. Note that F is an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_2$.

Let $Irr(H)$ and $IBr(H)$ be the set of irreducible ordinary characters and the set of irreducible Brauer characters of H, respectively. In the following, we deduce the 2-blocks of H from the known results on the 2-blocks of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$. For baisc results on blocks of finite groups, we refer the reader to Chapter 3 of [14].

The character tables of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$ were obtained by Jordan and Schur independently; see[11], [12], or [15] for the detailed discussions. The irreducible characters of H can be read off from the character tables of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$ as follows.

Lemma 4.1. ([11], [12], [15]) *The irreducible ordinary characters of* H *are:*

(i) $1 = \chi_0$, γ, χ_1, ..., $\chi_{\frac{q-1}{4}}$, β_1, β_2, ϕ_1, ..., $\phi_{\frac{q-5}{4}}$ *if* $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, *where* $1 = \chi_0$ *is the trivial character,* γ *is the character of degree* q, χ_s *for* $1 \le s \le \frac{q-1}{4}$ *are the characters of degree* $q - 1$, ϕ_r *for* $1 \le r \le \frac{q-5}{4}$ *are the characters of degree* $q + 1$, *and* β_i *for* $i = 1, 2$ *are the characters of degree* $\frac{q+1}{2}$;

(ii) $1 = \chi_0$, χ_1, ..., $\chi_{\frac{q-3}{4}}$, β_1, η_2, η_1, ..., $\phi_{\frac{q-3}{4}}$ *if* $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, *where* $1 = \chi_0$ *is the trivial character,* γ *is the character of degree* q, χ_s *for* $1 \le s \le \frac{q-1}{3}$ *are the characters of degree* $q - 1$, ϕ_r *for* $1 \le r \le \frac{q-3}{4}$ *are the characters of degree* $q + 1$, *and* η_i *for* $i = 1, 2$ *are the characters of degree* $\frac{q-1}{2}$;

The following lemma tells us how the irreducible ordinary characters of H are partitioned into 2-blocks.

Lemma 4.2. [17, Lemma 4.1] *First assume that* $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ *and* $q - 1 = m2^n$, *where* $2 \nmid m$.

(i) *The principal block* B_0 *of* H *contains* $2^{n-2}+3$ *irreducible characters*

$$\chi_0 = 1,\ \gamma,\ \beta_1,\ \beta_2,\ \phi_{i_1}, ..., \phi_{i_{(2^{n-2}-1)}},$$

where $\chi_0 = 1$ *is the trivial character of* H*,* γ *is the irreducible character of degree* q *of* H*,* β_1 *and* β_2 *are the two irreducible characters of degree* $\frac{q+1}{2}$*, and* ϕ_{i_k} *for* $1 \le k \le 2^{n-2}-1$ *are distinct irreducible characters of degree* $q+1$ *of* H*.*

(ii) H *has* $\frac{q-1}{4}$ *blocks* B_s *of defect* 0 *for* $1 \le s \le \frac{q-1}{4}$*, each of which contains an irreducible ordinary character* χ_s *of degree* $q-1$*.*

(iii) *If* $m \ge 3$*, then* H *has* $\frac{m-1}{2}$ *blocks* $B_t^{'}$ *of defect* $n-1$ *for* $1 \le t \le \frac{m-1}{2}$*, each of which contains* 2^{n-1} *irreducible ordinary characters* ϕ_{t_i} *for* $1 \le i \le 2^{n-1}$*.*

Now assume that $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ *and* $q+1 = m2^n$*, where* $2 \nmid m$ *.*

(iv) *The principal block* B_0 *of* H *contains* $2^{n-2}+3$ *irreducible characters*

$$\chi_0 = 1,\ \gamma,\ \eta_1,\ \eta_2,\ \chi_{i_1}, ..., \chi_{i_{(2^{n-2}-1)}},$$

where $\chi_0 = 1$ *is the trivial character of* H*,* γ *is the irreducible character of degree* q *of* H*,* η_1 *and* η_2 *are the two irreducible characters of degree* $\frac{q-1}{2}$*, and* χ_{i_k} *for* $1 \le k \le 2^{n-2}-1$ *are distinct irreducible characters of degree* $q-1$ *of* H*.*

(v) H *has* $\frac{q-3}{4}$ *blocks* B_r *of defect* 0 *for* $1 \le r \le \frac{q-3}{4}$*, each of which contains an irreducible ordinary character* ϕ_r *of degree* $q+1$*.*

(vi) *If* $m \ge 3$*, then* H *has* $\frac{m-1}{2}$ *blocks* $B_t^{'}$ *of defect* $n-1$ *for* $1 \le t \le \frac{m-1}{2}$*, each of which contains* 2^{n-1} *irreducible ordinary characters* χ_{t_i} *for* $1 \le i \le 2^{n-1}$*.*

Moreover, the above blocks form all the 2*-blocks of* H*.*

Remark 4.3. *Parts* (*i*) *and* (*iv*) *are from Theorem 1.3 in* [13] *and their proofs can be found in Chapter 7 of III in* [2]*. Parts* (*ii*) *and* (*v*) *are special cases of Theorem 3.18 in* [14]*. Parts* (*iii*) *and* (*vi*) *are proved in Sections II and VIII of* [3]*.*

4.2. **Block Idempotents.** Let $Bl(H)$ be the set of 2-blocks of H. If $B \in Bl(H)$, we write

$$f_B = \sum_{\chi \in Irr(B)} e_\chi,$$

where $e_\chi = \frac{\chi(1)}{|H|}\sum_{g\in H}\chi(g^{-1})g$ is a central primitive idempotent of $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{C}H)$ and $Irr(B) = Irr(H) \cap B$. For future use, we define $IBr(B) = IBr(H) \cap B$. Since f_B is an element of $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{C}H)$, we may write

$$f_B \quad = \sum_{C \in cl(H)} f_B(\widehat{C})\widehat{C},$$

where $cl(H)$ is the set of conjugacy classes of H, $\widehat{C}$ is the sum of elements in the class C, and

$$f_B(\widehat{C}) \quad = \quad \tfrac{1}{|H|}\sum_{\chi \in Irr(B)} \chi(1)\chi(x_C^{-1}) \tag{4.2}$$

with a fixed element $x_C \in C$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let* $B \in Bl(H)$*. Then* $f_B \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{S}H)$*. In other words,*$f_B(\widehat{C}) \in \mathbf{S}$ *for each block of* H*.*

Proof: It follows from Corollary 3.8 in [14]. □

We extend the ring homomorphism $* : \mathbf{S} \to F$ to a ring homomorphism $* : \mathbf{S}H \to FH$ by setting $(\sum_{g\in H} s_g g)^* = \sum_{g\in H} s_g^* g$. Note that $*$ maps $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{S}H)$ onto $\mathbf{Z}(FH)$ via $(\sum_{C\in cl(H)} s_C\widehat{C})^* = \sum_{C\in cl(H)} s_C^*\widehat{C}$. Now we define

$$e_B = (f_B)^* \in \mathbf{Z}(FH),$$

which is the *block idempotent* of B. Note that $e_B e_{B'} = \delta_{BB'} e_B$ for B, $B' \in Bl(H)$, where $\delta_{BB'}$ equals 1 if $B = B'$, 0 otherwise. Also $1 = \sum_{B \in Bl(H)} e_B$.

All the block idempotents of the 2-blocks of H are given in the following lemma; see [17] for the detailed calculations.

Lemma 4.5. [17, Lemma 4.4] *First assume that* $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ *and* $q - 1 = m2^n$ *with* $2 \nmid m$.

1. *Let* B_0 *be the principal block of* H. *Then*
   (a) $e_{B_0}(\widehat{D}) = 1$.
   (b) $e_{B_0}(\widehat{F^+}) = e_{B_0}(\widehat{F^-}) \in F$.
   (c) $e_{B_0}(\widehat{[\theta_i]}) \in F$, $e_{B_0}(\widehat{[0]}) = 0$.
   (d) $e_{B_0}(\widehat{[\pi_k]}) = 1$.
2. *Let* B_s *be any block of defect* 0 *of* H. *Then*
   (a) $e_{B_s}(\widehat{D}) = 0$.
   (b) $e_{B_s}(\widehat{F^+}) = e_{B_s}(\widehat{F^-}) = 1$.
   (c) $e_{B_s}(\widehat{[0]}) = e_{B_s}(\widehat{[\theta_i]}) = 0$.
   (d) $e_{B_s}(\widehat{[\pi_k]}) \in F$.
3. *Suppose* $m \geq 3$ *and let* B_t' *be any block of defect* $n-1$ *of* H. *Then*
   (a) $e_{B_t'}(\widehat{D}) = 0$.
   (b) $e_{B_t'}(\widehat{F^+}) = e_{B_t'}(\widehat{F^-}) = 1$.
   (c) $e_{B_t'}(\widehat{[\theta_i]}) \in F$, $e_{B_t'}(\widehat{[0]}) = 0$.
   (d) $e_{B_t'}(\widehat{[\pi_k]}) = 0$.

Now assume that $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. *Suppose that* $q + 1 = m2^n$ *with* $2 \nmid m$.

4. *Let* B_0 *be the principal block of* H. *Then*
   (a) $e_{B_0}(\widehat{D}) = 1$.
   (b) $e_{B_0}(\widehat{F^+}) = e_{B_0}(\widehat{F^-}) \in F$.
   (c) $e_{B_0}(\widehat{[\theta_i]}) = 1$.
   (d) $e_{B_0}(\widehat{[0]}) = 0$, $e_{B_0}(\widehat{[\pi_k]}) \in F$.
5. *Let* B_r *be any block of defect* 0 *of* H. *Then*
   (a) $e_{B_r}(\widehat{D}) = 0$.
   (b) $e_{B_r}(\widehat{F^+}) = e_{B_r}(\widehat{F^-}) = 1$.
   (c) $e_{B_r}(\widehat{[0]}) = e_{B_r}(\widehat{[\pi_k]}) = 0$.
   (d) $e_{B_r}(\widehat{[\theta_i]}) \in F$.
6. *Suppose that* $m \geq 3$ *and let* B_t' *be any block of defect* $n-1$ *of* H. *Then*
   (a) $e_{B_t'}(\widehat{D}) = 0$.
   (b) $e_{B_t'}(\widehat{F^+}) = e_{B_t'}(\widehat{F^-}) = 1$.
   (c) $e_{B_t'}(\widehat{[\theta_i]}) = 0$.
   (d) $e_{B_t'}(\widehat{[0]}) = 0$, $e_{B_t'}(\widehat{[\pi_k]}) \in F$.

The following corollary will be used in the proof of Lemma 6.2.

Corollary 4.6. *Let* B_s $(1 \leq s \leq \frac{q-1}{4})$ *or* B_r $(1 \leq r \leq \frac{q-3}{4})$ *be the blocks of defect* 0 *of* H *depending on whether* $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ *or* $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. *Using the above notation,*

(i) *if* $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, *for each* B_s, *there is a class* $[\pi_k]$ *such that* $e_{B_s}(\widehat{[\pi_k]}) \neq 0$;
(ii) *if* $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, *for each* B_r, *there is a class* $[\theta_i]$ *such that* $e_{B_r}(\widehat{[\theta_i]}) \neq 0$.

Proof: First we assume that $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. From Theorem 8.9 in [12], we have $\chi_s(g_k) = -\delta^{(2k)s} - \delta^{-(2k)s}$ for $1 \leq k \leq \frac{q-1}{4}$, where χ_s is the irreducible ordinary character lying in B_s, $g_k \in [\pi_k]$, and δ is a primitive $(q+1)$-th root of unit in $\mathbb{C}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{B_s}(\widehat{[\pi_k]}) &= \tfrac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\chi_s \in B_s} \chi_s(1)\chi_s(g_k^{-1}) \\ &= -\tfrac{q-1}{|H|}(\delta^{(2k)s} + \delta^{-(2k)s}). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{(q-1)/4} e_{B_s}(\widehat{[\pi_k]}) &= (-\tfrac{q-1}{|H|} \sum_{k=1}^{(q-1)/4} \delta^{(2k)s} + \delta^{-(2k)s})^* \\ &= (\tfrac{\delta^{2s} - \delta^{\frac{q+3}{2}s}}{1-\delta^{2s}} + \tfrac{\delta^{-2s} - \delta^{-\frac{q+3}{2}s}}{1-\delta^{-2s}})^* \\ &= (\tfrac{\delta^{2s} - \delta^{\frac{q+3}{2}s}}{1-\delta^{2s}} + \tfrac{\delta^{-2s} - \delta^{\frac{q-1}{2}s}}{1-\delta^{-2s}})^* \\ &= (\tfrac{\delta^{2s} - \delta^{\frac{q+3}{2}s}}{1-\delta^{2s}} + \tfrac{1 - \delta^{\frac{q+3}{2}s}}{\delta^{2s}-1})^* \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that $e_{B_s}(\widehat{[\pi_k]}) \neq 0$ for some k. Part (i) is proved.

Part (ii) can be proved in the same fashion using Theorem 8.11 in [12]; we omit the details. □

Let M be an $\mathbf{S}H$-module. We denote the reduction $M/\mathcal{P}M$, which is an FH-module, by $\overline{M}$. Then the following lemma is apparent.

Lemma 4.7. *Let M be an $\mathbf{S}H$-module and $B \in Bl(H)$. Using the above notation, we have*

$$\overline{f_B M} = e_B \overline{M},$$

i.e. reduction commutes with projection onto a block B.

5. Linear Maps and Their Matrices

Let F be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_2$ defined in Section 4. From now on, χ_N for $N \subseteq E$ will be always regarded as a vector over F. Recall that for $\mathbf{P} \in I$, $N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P})$ (respectively, $N_{Se,E}(\mathbf{P})$) is the set of external points on the passant (respectively, secant) lines through $\mathbf{P}$. We define $\mathbf{D}$ (respectively, $\mathbf{D}'$) to be the incidence matrix of E and $N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P})$ (respectively, $N_{Se,E}(\mathbf{P})$) for $\mathbf{P} \in I$. Namely, the columns of $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{D}'$ can be viewed as the characteristic vectors of $N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P})$ and $N_{Se,E}(\mathbf{P})$, respectively. In the following, we always regard both $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{D}'$ as matrices over F.

Definition 5.1. *For $\mathbf{P} \in I$, we define $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}}$ to be the column characteristic vector of $\mathbf{P}$ with respect to I, i.e. $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}}$ is a 0-1 column vector of length $|I|$ with entries indexed by the internal points; the entry of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}}$ is 1 if and only if it is indexed by $\mathbf{P}$.*

Let k be the complex field $\mathbb{C}$, the algebraic closure F of $\mathbb{F}_2$, or the ring $\mathbf{S}$ in (4.1). Let k^I and k^E be the free k-modules with the bases $\{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}} \mid \mathbf{P} \in I\}$ and $\{\chi_{\mathbf{P}} \mid \mathbf{P} \in E\}$, respectively. If we extend the actions of H on the bases of k^I and k^E, which are defined by $\chi_{\mathbf{P}} \cdot h = \chi_{\mathbf{P}^h}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{Q}} \cdot h = \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{Q}^h}$ for $\mathbf{P} \in I$, $\mathbf{Q} \in E$, and $h \in H$, linearly to k^I and k^E respectively, then both k^I and k^E are kH-permutation modules. Since H is transitive on I, we have

$$k^I = \mathrm{Ind}_K^H(1_k),$$

where K is the stabilizer of an element of I in H and $\mathrm{Ind}_K^H(1_k)$ is the kH-module induced by 1_k.

The decomposition of $1\uparrow_K^H$, the character of $\mathrm{Ind}_K^H(1_k)$, into a sum of the irreducible ordinary characters of H is given as follows.

Lemma 5.2. [19, Lemma 5.2] *Let K be the stabilizer of an internal point in H.*

Assume that $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. Let χ_s, $1 \leq s \leq \frac{q-1}{4}$, be the irreducible ordinary characters of degree $q-1$, ϕ_r, $1 \leq r \leq \frac{q-5}{4}$, irreducible ordinary characters of degree $q+1$, γ the irreducible of degree q, and β_j, $1 \leq j \leq 2$, irreducible ordinary characters of degree $\frac{q+1}{2}$.

(i) *If $q \equiv 1 \pmod 8$, then*

$$1\uparrow_K^H = 1 + \sum_{s=1}^{(q-1)/4} \chi_s + \gamma + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{(q-9)/4} \phi_{r_j},$$

where ϕ_{r_j}, $1 \leq j \leq \frac{q-9}{4}$, may not be distinct.

(ii) *If $q \equiv 5 \pmod 8$, then*

$$1\uparrow_K^H = 1 + \sum_{s=1}^{(q-1)/4} \chi_s + \gamma + \sum_{j=1}^{(q-5)/4} \phi_{r_j},$$

where ϕ_{r_j}, $1 \leq j \leq \frac{q-5}{4}$, may not be distinct.

Next assume that $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. Let χ_s, $1 \leq s \leq \frac{q-3}{4}$, be the irreducible ordinary characters of degree $q-1$, ϕ_r, $1 \leq r \leq \frac{q-3}{4}$, the irreducible ordinary characters of degree $q+1$, γ the irreducible character of degree q, and η_j, $1 \leq j \leq 2$, the irreducible ordinary characters of degree $\frac{q-1}{2}$.

(iii) *If $q \equiv 3 \pmod 8$, then*

$$1\uparrow_K^H = 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{(q-3)/4} \phi_r + \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{(q-3)/4} \chi_{s_j},$$

where χ_{s_j}, $1 \leq j \leq \frac{q-3}{4}$, may not be distinct.

(iv) *If $q \equiv 7 \pmod 8$, then*

$$1\uparrow_K^H = 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{(q-3)/4} \phi_r + \sum_{j=1}^{(q+1)/4} \chi_{s_j},$$

where χ_{s_j}, $1 \leq j \leq \frac{q+1}{4}$, may not be distinct.

Corollary 5.3. *Using the above notation,*

(i) *if $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, then the character of $Ind_K^H(1_{\mathbb{C}}) \cdot f_{B_s}$ is χ_s for each block B_s of defect 0;*

(ii) *if $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, then the character of $Ind_K^H(1_{\mathbb{C}}) \cdot f_{B_r}$ is ϕ_r for each block B_r of defect 0.*

Proof: The corollary follows from Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 5.2. □

Since H preserves incidence, the following corollary is obvious.

Corollary 5.4. *Let $\mathbf{P} \in I$. Using the above notation, we have*

$$\chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P})} \cdot h = \chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P}^h)}, \chi_{N_{Se,E}(\mathbf{P})} \cdot h = \chi_{N_{Se,E}(\mathbf{P}^h)}$$

for $h \in H$.

In the rest of the article, we always view $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}}$ as a vector over F. Consider the maps $\phi_{\mathbf{B}}$, $\phi_{\mathbf{D}}$, and $\phi_{\mathbf{D}'}$ from F^I to F^E defined by extending

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}} \mapsto \chi_{\mathbf{P}^{\perp}}, \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}} \mapsto \chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P})}, \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}} \mapsto \chi_{N_{Se,E}(\mathbf{P})}$$

linearly to F^I, respectively. Then it is clear that as F-linear maps, the marices of $\phi_{\mathbf{B}}$, $\phi_{\mathbf{D}}$, and $\phi_{\mathbf{D}'}$ are $\mathbf{B}$, $\mathbf{D}$, and $\mathbf{D}^{'}$, respectively, and for $\mathbf{x} \in F^I$, $\phi_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}$, $\phi_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x}$ and $\phi_{\mathbf{D}'}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{D}^{'}\mathbf{x}$. Moreover, we have the following result.

Lemma 5.5. *The maps $\phi_{\mathbf{B}}$, $\phi_{\mathbf{D}}$, and $\phi_{\mathbf{D}'}$ are all FH-module homomorphisms from F^I to F^E.*

Proof: Let $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}}$ be a basis element of F^I. Then $\phi(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}} \cdot h) = \phi(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}}) \cdot h$ since

$$\phi_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}} \cdot h) = \chi_{(\mathbf{P}^h)^{\perp}} = \chi_{(\mathbf{P}^{\perp})^h} = \chi_{\mathbf{P}^{\perp}} \cdot h = \phi_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}}) \cdot h.$$

By linearity of $\phi_{\mathbf{B}}$, we have $\phi_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot h = \phi_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{x} \cdot h)$ for each $\mathbf{x} \in F^I$. The proof of the map $\phi_{\mathbf{B}}$ being FH-homomorphism is completed.

The proofs of the other two maps being homomorphisms are similar since

$$\chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P})} \cdot h = \chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P}^h)}, \chi_{N_{Se,E}(\mathbf{P})} \cdot h = \chi_{N_{Se,E}(\mathbf{P}^h)}$$

for $h \in H$ and $\mathbf{P} \in I$ by Corollary 5.4. We omit the details. □

For convenience, we use $\mathrm{col}_F(\mathbf{C})$ to denote the column space of the matrix $\mathbf{C}$ over F.

Corollary 5.6. *Using the above notation, we have* $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) = \mathrm{col}_F(\mathbf{B})$, $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{D}}) = \mathrm{col}_F(\mathbf{D})$, *and* $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{D}'}) = \mathrm{col}_F(\mathbf{D}^{'})$.

Now we define $\mathcal{M}_1 := \langle \chi_\ell \mid \ell \in T \rangle_F$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 := \langle \chi_{\ell_i} + \chi_{\ell_j} \mid \ell_i \neq \ell_j \in T \rangle_F$ to be the spans of the corresponding characteristic vectors over F.

Lemma 5.7. *The dimensions of $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ over F are* $\dim_F(\mathcal{M}_1) = q$ *and* $\dim_F(\mathcal{M}_2) = q - 1$*, respectively. Moreover, the all-one column vector $\mathbf{1}$ of length $|E|$ is neither in $\mathcal{M}_1$ nor in $\mathcal{M}_2$.*

Proof: Since $\sum_{\ell \in T} \chi_\ell = \mathbf{0}$, where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero column vector of $|E|$, it follows that $\{\chi_\ell \mid \ell \in T\}$ is linearly dependent over F, i.e. $\dim_F(\mathcal{M}_1) \leq q$. Now let $T^{'} \subset T$ with $|T^{'}| = q$ and suppose that $\{\chi_\ell \mid \ell \in T^{'}\}$ is linearly dependent over F. Then $\sum_{\ell \in T^{'}} a_\ell \chi_\ell = \mathbf{0}$, where $a_\ell \in F$ and $a_{\ell_1} \neq 0$ for some $\ell_1 \in T^{'}$. Since there are q external points on ℓ_1 and there are only $q-1$ tangent lines other than ℓ_1 in $T^{'}$, some external point on ℓ_1 must be passed only by ℓ_1 among the tangent lines in $T^{'}$, which forces $a_{\ell_1} = 0$, a contradiction. This shows that $T^{'}$ must be linearly independent over F, and so $\dim_F(\mathcal{M}_1) = q$. Moreover, if $T^{'} \subset T$ and $|T^{'}| = q$, then $\{\chi_\ell \mid \ell \in T^{'}\}$ must be a basis for $\mathcal{M}_1$.

Next if ℓ_1 is a tangent line, then $\mathcal{M}_2 = \langle \chi_{\ell_1} + \chi_\ell \mid \ell \in T \setminus \{\ell_1\} \rangle_F$ since $\chi_{\ell_i} + \chi_{\ell_j} = (\chi_{\ell_1} + \chi_{\ell_i}) + (\chi_{\ell_1} + \chi_{\ell_j})$. As $\sum_{\ell \in T \setminus \{\ell_1\}} (\chi_{\ell_1} + \chi_\ell) = \mathbf{0}$, $\dim_F(\mathcal{M}_2) \leq q - 1$. Let $T^{'} \subset T \setminus \{\ell_1\}$ with $|T^{'}| = q - 1$ and suppose that $\{\chi_{\ell_1} + \chi_\ell \mid \ell \in T^{'}\}$ is linearly dependent over F. Then $\sum_{\ell \in T^{'}} a_\ell (\chi_{\ell_1} + \chi_\ell) = \sum_{\ell \in T^{'}} a_\ell \chi_\ell = \mathbf{0}$ since $|T^{'}|$ is even, where $a_\ell \in F$ and $a_{\ell_2} \neq 0$ for some $\ell_2 \in T^{'}$. By applying the same argument in the first paragraph of this proof, again, we obtain that $a_{\ell_2} = 0$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\{\chi_{\ell_1} + \chi_\ell \mid \ell \in T^{'}\}$ is linearly independent over F, and so $\dim_F(\mathcal{M}_2) = q - 1$. Moreover, if $T^{'} \subset T \setminus \{\ell_1\}$ and $|T^{'}| = q - 1$, then $\{\chi_{\ell_1} + \chi_\ell \mid \ell \in T^{'}\}$ must be a basis for $\mathcal{M}_2$.

Now we assume that $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and $\{\chi_\ell \mid \ell \in T^{'}\}$ with $T^{'} \subset T$ and $|T^{'}| = q$ is a basis for $\mathcal{M}_1$. Then $\sum_{\ell \in T^{'}} a_\ell \chi_\ell = \mathbf{1}$, where $a_\ell \in F$ for $\ell \in T^{'}$ and $a_{\ell_k} \neq 0$ for some $\ell_k \in T^{'}$. Since $|T^{'} \setminus \{\ell_k\}| = q - 1$, some external point on ℓ_k must be only passed by ℓ_k among all the tangent lines in T'; this forces $a_{\ell_k} = 1$. For each $\ell \in T' \setminus \{\ell_k\}$, we have $a_{\ell_k} + a_\ell = 1$, that is, $a_\ell = 0$ for each $\ell \in T' \setminus \{\ell_k\}$. Thus $\chi_{\ell_k} = \mathbf{1}$, which is impossible. Consequently, $\mathbf{1} \notin \mathcal{M}_1$. Similarly, we can show that $\mathbf{1} \notin \mathcal{M}_2$. We omit the details. □

Lemma 5.8. *If* $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$*, then* $\mathrm{col}_F(\mathbf{D}) = \mathcal{M}_1$*; if* $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$*, then* $\mathrm{col}_F(\mathbf{D}) = \mathcal{M}_2$.

Proof: Assume that $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. Let $\chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P})}$ be the column of $\mathbf{D}$ indexed by $\mathbf{P}$. Then $\chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P})}$ is an F-linear combination of the generating elements of $\mathcal{M}_1$ by Corollary 2.17. Now if χ_ℓ is a generating element of $\mathcal{M}_1$, then it is an F-linear combination of the columns of $\mathbf{D}$ by Corollary 2.21. Therefore, $\mathrm{col}_F(\mathbf{D}) = \mathcal{M}_1$.

Now we assume that $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. Let $\chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P})}$ be the column of $\mathbf{D}$ indexed by $\mathbf{P}$. Suppose that $\ell(\mathbf{P})$ is a tangent line through an external point on $\mathbf{P}^\perp$ and $T(\mathbf{P}, \ell(\mathbf{P}))$ is the set of tangent lines through the external points on $\ell(\mathbf{P})$ that are also on the passant lines through $\mathbf{P}$. Then by Corollary 2.17 and the fact that $|T(\mathbf{P}, \ell(\mathbf{P}))| = \frac{q+1}{2}$ is even, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P})} &= \sum_{\ell \in T(\mathbf{P}, \ell(\mathbf{P}))} \chi_\ell \\ &= \sum_{\ell \in T(\mathbf{P}, \ell(\mathbf{P}))} (\chi_\ell + \chi_{\ell(\mathbf{P})});\end{aligned}$$

that is, $\chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P})} \in \mathcal{M}_2$. Now let $\chi_{\ell_1} + \chi_{\ell_2}$ be a generating element of $\mathcal{M}_2$. Then we have

$$\chi_{\ell_1} + \chi_{\ell_2} = \sum_{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{P})} \chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{Q})}$$

by Corollary 2.24, where $\mathbf{P} = \ell_1 \cap \ell_2$. Hence, $\mathrm{col}_F(\mathbf{D}) = \mathcal{M}_2$. □

Corollary 5.9. *If* $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$*,* $\mathrm{rank}_2(\mathbf{D}) = q$*; if* $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$*,* $\mathrm{rank}_2(\mathbf{D}) = q - 1$.

Proof: It follows from Lemmas 5.7 and 5.8. □

Further, we have the following decomposition of $\mathrm{col}_F(\mathbf{D}')$.

Lemma 5.10. *If* $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$*, then* $\mathrm{col}_F(\mathbf{D}') = \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle \oplus \mathrm{col}_F(\mathbf{D})$ *as* FH*-modules, where* $\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle$ *is the trivial* FH*-module generated by the all-one column vector* $\mathbf{1}$.

Proof: Since each row of $\mathbf{D}'$ has $\frac{(q-1)^2}{4}$ 1s, then

$$\sum_{\mathbf{P} \in I} \chi_{N_{Se,E}(\mathbf{P})} = \mathbf{1}.$$

For $h \in H$,

$$\mathbf{1} \cdot h = (\sum_{\mathbf{P} \in I} \chi_{N_{Se,E}(\mathbf{P})}) \cdot h = \sum_{\mathbf{P} \in I} \chi_{N_{Se,E}(\mathbf{P}^h)} = \sum_{\mathbf{P} \in I} \chi_{N_{Se,E}(\mathbf{P})} = \mathbf{1} \in \mathrm{col}_F(\mathbf{D}).$$

Consequently, $\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle$ is indeed a trivial submodule of $\mathrm{col}_F(\mathbf{D}')$.

It is clear that $\mathrm{col}_F(\mathbf{D}') = \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle + \mathrm{col}_F(\mathbf{D})$ since $\chi_{N_{Se,E}(\mathbf{P})} \in \mathrm{col}_F(\mathbf{D}')$ if and only if $\chi_{N_{Se,E}(\mathbf{P})} = \mathbf{1} + \chi_{N_{Pa,E}(\mathbf{P})} \in \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle + \mathrm{col}_F(\mathbf{D})$. Further, $\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle \cap \mathrm{col}_F(\mathbf{D}) = \mathbf{0}$ since $\mathrm{col}_F(\mathbf{D}) = \mathcal{M}_2$ and $\mathbf{1} \notin \mathcal{M}_2$ by Lemmas 5.7 and 5.8. Therefore, $\mathrm{col}_F(\mathbf{D}') = \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle \oplus \mathrm{col}_F(\mathbf{D})$. □

6. Statement and Proof of Main Theorem

The main theorem is given as follows.

Theorem 6.1. *Let* $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}})$ *and* $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{D}})$ *be defined as above. As* FH*-modules,*

(i) *if* $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, *then*

$$\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) = \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{D}}) \oplus \Big(\bigoplus_{s=1}^{(q-1)/4} M_s \Big),$$

where M_s *for* $1 \leq s \leq \frac{q-1}{4}$ *are pairwise non-isomorphic simple* FH*-modules of dimension* $q-1$*;*

(ii) *if* $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, *then*

$$\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) = \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle \oplus \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{D}}) \oplus \Big(\bigoplus_{r=1}^{(q-3)/4} M_r \Big),$$

where M_r *for* $1 \leq s \leq \frac{q-3}{4}$ *are pairwise non-isomorphic simple* FH*-modules of dimension* $q+1$ *and* $\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle$ *is the trivial* FH*-module generated by the all-one column vector of length* $|E|$.

To prove the main theorem, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *Let* $q-1 = 2^n m$ *or* $q+1 = 2^n m$ *with* $2 \nmid m$ *depending on whether* $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ *or* $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. *Using the above notation,*

(i) *if* $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, *then* $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) \cdot e_{B_0} = \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{D}})$, $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) \cdot e_{B_s} \neq \mathbf{0}$ *for* $1 \leq s \leq \frac{q-1}{4}$, *and* $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) \cdot e_{B'_t} = \mathbf{0}$ *for* $m \geq 3$ *and* $1 \leq t \leq \frac{m-1}{2}$*;*

(ii) *if* $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, *then* $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) \cdot e_{B_0} = \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{D}'})$, $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) \cdot e_{B_r} \neq \mathbf{0}$ *for* $1 \leq r \leq \frac{q-3}{4}$, *and* $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) \cdot e_{B'_t} = \mathbf{0}$ *for* $m \geq 3$ *and* $1 \leq t \leq \frac{m-1}{2}$.

Proof: It is clear that $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}})$ is generated by $\{\chi_{\mathbf{P}^\perp} \mid \mathbf{P} \in I\}$ over F. Let $B \in Bl(H)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\mathbf{P}^\perp} \cdot e_B &= \sum_{C \in cl(H)} e_B(\widehat{C}) \sum_{h \in C} \chi_{\mathbf{P}^\perp} \cdot h \\
&= \sum_{C \in cl(H)} e_B(\widehat{C}) \sum_{h \in C} \chi_{(\mathbf{P}^\perp)^h}, \\
&= \sum_{C \in cl(H)} e_B(\widehat{C}) \sum_{h \in C} \sum_{\mathbf{Q} \in (\mathbf{P}^\perp)^h \cap E} \chi_{\mathbf{Q}},
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\chi_{\mathbf{P}^\perp} \cdot e_B = \sum_{\mathbf{Q} \in I} \mathcal{S}(B, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}) \chi_{\mathbf{Q}},$$

where

$$S(B, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}) := \sum_{C \in cl(H)} |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap C| e_B(\widehat{C}).$$

Assume first that $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. If $\ell_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \in Se_{\mathbf{P}}$, then $S(B, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}) = 0$ for each $B \in Bl(H)$ since $|\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap C| = 0$ in F for each $C \neq [0]$ by Lemma 3.6(i) and $e_{B_0}(\widehat{[0]}) = e_{B_s}(\widehat{[0]}) = e_{B'_t}(\widehat{[0]}) = 0$ by 1(c), 2(c), 3(c) of Lemma 4.5.

If $\ell_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \in Pa_{\mathbf{P}}$ and $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbf{P}^{\perp}$, then by Lemma 3.6(ii) and 1(a), 1(c), 2(a), 2(c), 3(a), 3(c) of Lemma 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
S(B_0,\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) &= |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap [0]| e_{B_0}(\widehat{[0]}) + |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap D| e_{B_0}(\widehat{D}) &&= 0+1 &&= 1,\\
S(B_s,\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) &= |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap [0]| e_{B_s}(\widehat{[0]}) + |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap D| e_{B_s}(\widehat{D}) &&= 0+0 &&= 0,\\
S(B_t^{'},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) &= |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap [0]| e_{B_t^{'}}(\widehat{[0]}) + |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap D| e_{B_t^{'}}(\widehat{D}) &&= 0+0 &&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\mathbf{Q}$ is on a passant line ℓ through $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{Q} \notin \mathbf{P}^{\perp}$, then by Lemma 3.6(iii) and 1(c), 1(d), 2(c), 2(d), 3(c), 3(d) of Lemma 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
S(B_0,\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) &= |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap [0]| e_{B_0}(\widehat{[0]}) + |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap [\pi_k]| e_{B_0}(\widehat{[\pi_k]}) &&= 1,\\
S(B_s,\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) &= |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap [0]| e_{B_s}(\widehat{[0]}) + |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap [\pi_k]| e_{B_s}(\widehat{[\pi_k]}) &&= e_{B_s}(\widehat{[\pi_k]}),\\
S(B_t^{'},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) &= |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap [0]| e_{B_t^{'}}(\widehat{[0]}) + |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap [\pi_k]| e_{B_t^{'}}(\widehat{[\pi_k]}) &&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.6(iii) and the fact that there are $\frac{q-1}{4}$ classes of the form $[\pi_k]$ and there are $\frac{q-1}{2}$ points on ℓ that are not on $\mathbf{P}^{\perp}$, we have that for each $[\pi_k]$ there exist two external points $\mathbf{Q}_1$ and $\mathbf{Q}_2$ on ℓ such that $|\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}_j} \cap [\pi_k]|$ ($j = 1$ or 2) is odd and for each $\mathbf{Q} \in \ell$ and $\mathbf{Q} \notin \mathbf{P}^{\perp}$ there is a class $[\pi_k]$ such that $|\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap [\pi_k]|$ is odd. Combining the above analysis with Lemma 4.6, we obtain that for each B_s, there is a $\mathbf{Q}$ and a class $[\pi_k]$ such that $S(B_s,\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) = e_{B_s}(\widehat{[\pi_k]}) \neq 0$.

Therefore, we have shown that $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) \cdot e_{B_0} = \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{D}})$ by definition, $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) \cdot e_{B_s} \neq \mathbf{0}$ for each s, and $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) \cdot e_{B_t^{'}} = \mathbf{0}$. The proof of (i) is completed.

Now assume that $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. If $\ell_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \in Pa_{\mathbf{P}}$, then $S(B,\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) = 0$ for each $B \in Bl(H)$ since $|\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap C| = 0$ by 4(d), 5(c), 6(d) of Lemma 4.5.

Let $\ell_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \in Se_{\mathbf{P}}$ and $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbf{P}^{\perp}$, then by Lemma 3.7(ii) and 4(a), 4(d), 5(a), 5(c), 5(d) of Lemma 4.5

$$\begin{aligned}
S(B_0,\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) &= |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap [0]| e_{B_0}(\widehat{[0]}) + |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap D| e_{B_0}(\widehat{D}) &&= 0+1 &&= 1,\\
S(B_s,\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) &= |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap [0]| e_{B_s}(\widehat{[0]}) + |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap D| e_{B_s}(\widehat{D}) &&= 0+0 &&= 0,\\
S(B_t^{'},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) &= |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap [0]| e_{B_t^{'}}(\widehat{[0]}) + |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap D| e_{B_t^{'}}(\widehat{D}) &&= 0+0 &&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\ell_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \in Se_{\mathbf{P}}$ and $\mathbf{Q} \notin \mathbf{P}^{\perp}$, then by Lemma 3.7(i), 4(c), 4(d), 5(c), 5(d), 6(c), 6(d) of Lemma 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
S(B_0,\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) &= |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap [0]| e_{B_0}(\widehat{[0]}) + |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap [\theta_i]| e_{B_0}(\widehat{[\theta_i]}) &&= 1,\\
S(B_s,\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) &= |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap [0]| e_{B_s}(\widehat{[0]}) + |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap [\theta_i]| e_{B_s}(\widehat{[\pi_k]}) &&= e_{B_s}(\widehat{[\theta_i]}),\\
S(B_t^{'},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) &= |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap [0]| e_{B_t^{'}}(\widehat{[0]}) + |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \cap [\theta_i]| e_{B_t^{'}}(\widehat{[\theta_i]}) &&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.7(i) and Lemma 4.6, we have that for each B_s, there is a $\mathbf{Q}$ and a class $[\theta_i]$ such that $S(B_s,\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) = e_{B_s}(\widehat{[\theta_i]}) \neq 0$.

Therefore, we have shown that $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) \cdot e_{B_0} = \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{D}'})$ by definition, $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) \cdot e_{B_s} \neq \mathbf{0}$ for each s, and $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) \cdot e_{B_t^{'}} = \mathbf{0}$. The proof of (ii) is completed. □

Proof of Theorem 6.1: Let B be a 2-block of defect 0 of H. Then by Lemma 4.7, we have

$$F^I \cdot e_B = \overline{\mathbf{S}^I \cdot f_B}.$$

Therefore, by Corollary 5.3, $F^I \cdot e_b = N$, where N is the simple FH-module of dimension $q-1$ or $q+1$ lying in B accordingly as $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ or $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. It is clear that $\phi_{\mathbf{B}}(F^I) = \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}})$.

Assume that $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ and $q-1 = m2^n$ with $2 \nmid m$. Since

$$1 = e_{B_0} + \sum_{s=1}^{(q-1)/4} e_{B_s} + \sum_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} e_{B'_t},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) &= \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) \cdot e_{B_0} \oplus (\bigoplus_{s=1}^{(q-1)/4} \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) \cdot e_{B_s}) \oplus (\bigoplus_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) \cdot e_{B'_t}) \\
&= \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{D}}) \oplus (\bigoplus_{s=1}^{(q-1)/4} \phi_{\mathbf{B}}(F^I) \cdot e_{B_s}) \\
&= \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{D}}) \oplus (\bigoplus_{s=1}^{(q-1)/4} \phi_{\mathbf{B}}(F^I \cdot e_{B_s})) \qquad (6.1) \\
&= \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{D}}) \oplus (\bigoplus_{s=1}^{(q-1)/4} \phi_{\mathbf{B}}(N_s)) \\
&= \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{D}}) \oplus (\bigoplus_{s=1}^{(q-1)/4} M_s),
\end{aligned}$$

where N_s is the simple module of dimension $q-1$ lying in B_s for each s by the discussion in the first paragraph and $M_s := \phi_{\mathbf{B}}(N_s)$ for each s. In (6.1), the terms $e_{B'_t}$ for $1 \le t \le \frac{m-1}{2}$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) \cdot e_{B'_t}$ for $1 \le t \le \frac{m-1}{2}$ appear only when $m \ge 3$; the second equality holds since $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) \cdot e_{B'_t} = \mathbf{0}$ for each t and $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) \cdot e_{B_0} = \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{D}})$ by Lemma 6.2(i); and the third equality holds since $\phi_{\mathbf{B}}$ is an FH-homomorphism by Lemma 5.5 and $e_{B_s} \in FH$. Consider the map

$$\lambda_S : N_S \to \phi_{\mathbf{B}}(N_s)$$

defined by $\lambda_s(n) = \phi_{\mathbf{B}}(n)$ for $n \in N_s$, where $1 \le s \le \frac{q-1}{4}$. It is clear that λ_s is the same as the resctriction of $\phi_{\mathbf{B}}$ to N_s. Consequently, λ_s is a surjective FH-homomorphism. Moreover, $\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda_s)$ is either $\mathbf{0}$ or N_s since, otherwise, $\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda_s)$ would be a non-trivial submodule of N_s which is impossible. If $\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda_s) = N_s$, then $\phi_{\mathbf{B}}(N_s) = \phi_{\mathbf{B}}(F^I) \cdot e_{B_s} = \mathbf{0}$, which is not the case by Lemma 6.2(i). Thus, we must have $\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda_s) = \mathbf{0}$; that is, λ_s is an FH-isomorphism. So we have shown that $M_s := \mathrm{Im}(N_s) \cong N_s$ and thus M_s for $1 \le s \le \frac{q-1}{4}$ are pairwise non-isomorphic simple modules of dimension $q-1$. The proof of (i) is finished.

Now assume that $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. Applying the same argument as above, we have

$$\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) = \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{D}'}) \oplus (\bigoplus_{r=1}^{(q-3)/4} M_r),$$

where M_r for $1 \le r \le \frac{q-3}{4}$ are pairwise non-isomorphic simple FH-modules of dimension $q+1$. Since $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{D}'}) = \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle \oplus \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{D}})$ by Lemma 5.10, it follows that

$$\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{B}}) = \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle \oplus \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathbf{D}}) \oplus (\bigoplus_{r=1}^{(q-3)/4} M_r).$$

□

Now Conjecture 1.1 follows as a corollary.

Corollary 6.3. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}_0$ be the $\mathbb{F}_2$-null spaces of $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{B}_0$, respectively. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{L}) = \begin{cases} \frac{q^2-1}{4} - q, & \textit{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod 4, \\ \frac{q^2-1}{4} - q + 1, & \textit{if } q \equiv 3 \pmod 4 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{L}_0) = \begin{cases} \frac{q^2-1}{4}, & \textit{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod 4, \\ \frac{q^2-1}{4} + 1, & \textit{if } q \equiv 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Proof: From Theorem 6.1 and Corollary 5.9, it follows that the 2-rank of $\mathbf{B}$ is

$$\mathrm{rank}_2(\mathbf{B}) = q + \frac{(q-1)^2}{4}$$

or

$$\mathrm{rank}_2(\mathbf{B}) = 1 + (q-1) + \frac{(q-1)^2}{4}$$

accordingly as $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ or $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. Therefore, the dimension of the $\mathbb{F}_2$-null space of $\mathbf{B}$ is

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{L}) = \frac{q(q-1)}{2} - (q + \frac{(q-1)^2}{4}) = \frac{q^2-1}{4} - q$$

or

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{L}) = \frac{q(q-1)}{2} - (1 + (q-1) + \frac{(q-1)(q-3)}{4}) = \frac{q^2-1}{4} - q + 1$$

accordingly as $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ or $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$.

Since $\mathrm{rank}_2(\mathbf{B}) = \mathrm{rank}_2(\mathbf{B}_0)$, the dimension of $\mathcal{L}_0$ can be calculated in the same way. We omit the details. □

References

[1] E. F. Assmus Jr. and D. Key, *Designs and Their Codes*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1992.

[2] R. Brauer, Some applications of the theory of blocks of characters of finite groups. I, II, III, and IV, *J. Algebra* **1** (1964), 152–167, 304–334; **3** (1966), 225–255; **17** (1971), 489–521.

[3] R. Burkhardt, Die zerlegungsmatrizen der gruppen $\mathrm{PSL}(2, p^f)$, *J. Algebra* **40** (1976), 75–96.

[4] L. E. Dickson, *Linear Groups with an Exposition of the Galois Field Theory*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1901.

[5] S. Droms, K. E. Mellinger, and C. Meyer, LDPC codes generated by conics in the classical projective plane, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **40** (2006), 343–356.

[6] G. Frobenius, Über relationen zwischen den charakteren einer gruppe and denen ihrer untergruppen, *S'ber. Akad. Wiss. Berlin* (1898), 501–515; *Ges. Abh. III*, 104–118.

[7] R. H. Dye, Hexagons, Conics, A_5 and $\mathrm{PSL}_2(K)$, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **44** (1991), 270–286.

[8] J. W. P. Hirschfeld, *Projective Geometries over Finite Fields*, Second Edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998.

[9] W. C. Huffman and V. Pless, *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

[10] D. R. Hughes and F. C. Piper, *Projective Planes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **6**, Springer-Verlag, New York Inc.

[11] H. E. Jordan, Group-characters of various types of linear groups, *Amer. J. Math.* **29** (1907), 387–405.

[12] G. Karpilovsky, *Group Representations*, *Volume 3*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993.

[13] P. Landrock, The principal block of finite groups with dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups, *J. Algebra* **39** (1976), 410–428.

[14] G. Navarro, *Characters and Blocks of Finite Groups*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **250**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[15] I. Schur, Untersuchungen über die darstellung der endlichen gruppen durch gebrochene lineare substitutionen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **132** (1907), 85–137.

[16] B. Segre, Ovals in a finite projective plane, *Canad. J. Math.* **7** (1955), 414–416.

[17] P. Sin, J. Wu, and Q. Xiang, Dimensions of some binary codes arising from a conic in $\mathrm{PG}(2, q)$, *J. Combin. Theory (A)* **118** (2011), 853–878.

[18] T. Store, *Cyclotomy and Difference Sets*, Markham, Chicago, 1967.

[19] A. L. Madison and J. Wu, On Binary Codes from Conics in $\mathrm{PG}(2, q)$, Preprint.

Department of Mathematics, Lane College, Jackson, TN 38301, USA
E-mail address: `jwu@lanecollege.edu`